Agenda
Council Meeting
7:00 p.m. – February 1, 2016

Council meetings will routinely recess from approximately 9:00 p.m. to 9:15 p.m.

1 Call to Order
2 Singing of the National Anthem
3 Disclosures of (Direct or Indirect) Pecuniary Interest
4 Approval of Agenda
5 Adoption of Minutes of Previous Council Meetings

The minutes of a regular and closed meetings of Council held on January 18, 2016, were presented.

6 Proclamations and Presentations

6.1 Proclamations

February 1-7 Eating Disorder Awareness Week
February 15-21 Heritage Week

6.2 Presentations

6.2.1 Orangeville Sustainability Action Team (OSAT) – Draft Bicycle Route Program
7 Question Period

8 Petitions and Delegations

8.1 Public Meeting

8.1.1 Plan of Subdivision Application S 1/15 – 48 C Line- Harbour View Investments Ltd. (D12) (Refer to Information Item 10.1 Below)

Ms. Nancy Tuckett, Director of Economic Development, Planning and Innovation to present the application.

A public meeting to receive input with respect to the above noted application.

9 Correspondence

10 Information Items

10.1 Plan of Subdivision Application – S 1/15 – 48 C Line (PL-2016-04)(D12)

S 1/15 – Harbour View Investments Ltd. – 48 C Line.

10.2 New Development Applications (PL-2016-03) (D00)

Report PL-2016-03, dated February 1, 2016.

10.3 New Businesses in Orangeville – November 1 to December 31, 2015 (ED-2016-04) (D02/C11)


10.4 Heritage Permit (P11)

HR 19/15 – Koros Games, 113 Broadway.

10.5 Committee of Adjustment Seminar (A04)

Memorandum from Clerk’s, dated February 1, 2016.

10.6 2015 Outstanding Financing Leases (TF-2016-01) (L07)

Report TF-2016-01, dated February 1, 2016.
10.7 2015 Development Charges Statement of Activity (TF-2016-02) (F21)
Report TF-2016-02, dated February 1, 2016.

10.8 Annual Report – Economic Development Committee (ED-2016-05) (C11/C12)
Report ED-2016-05, dated February 1, 2016.

10.9 Tony Rose Memorial Sports Centre Pool Change Rooms (PR-2016-03)
Report PR-2016-03, dated February 1, 2016.

10.10 David Tilson, Member of Parliament (MP) - Dufferin-Caledon – Invitation (A16)
Correspondence from David Tilson MP, Dufferin-Caledon, dated January 14, 2016, with respect to an invitation to provide input on Federal budget issues.

10.11 Rural Ontario Municipal Association (ROMA) Invitation to Conference (A01)
Invitation from ROMA Zone 4 Representative, Mr. Allan Thompson, Mayor, Town of Caledon, dated January 11, 2016.

10.12 Ontario Municipal Board (OMB) – Decision Order – 45 Church Street OPZ 1/12 (A09/L01)
Decision Order from the OMB, dated January 12 2016, with respect to 45 Church Street, OPZ 1/12.

10.13 County of Dufferin – By-law 2016-03 – Establish Property Tax Rates for Upper-Tier (County) Purposes for the Year 2016 (C02)
County of Dufferin By-law 2016-03 to establish property tax rates for upper-tier (County) purposes for the year 2016.

10.14 Sylvia Jones, Member of Provincial Parliament (MPP) – Dufferin-Caledon – Proposed Provincial Legislation Impact (A16)
Correspondence from Sylvia Jones MP, Dufferin-Caledon, dated January 15, 2016, with respect to an invitation to provide input for the upcoming legislative session at Queen’s Park.

Recommendation:
That the information items be received.
11 Reports (C11)

11.1 Urban Slide Event (PR-2016-05)

A report from Parks and Recreation, dated February 1, 2016.

(Representatives from 365 Sports Inc., and the Rotary Club of Orangeville, will be in attendance for questions).

Recommendation:

That report PR-2016-05, Urban Slide Event, be received;

And that Council approve the Urban Slide Event organized by 365 Sports Inc. be hosted in the Town of Orangeville on Saturday, July 16, 2016 or Saturday, July 23, 2016, to be located on Alder Street;

And that Council direct to staff to continue to work with 365 Sports Inc. and the Rotary Club of Orangeville to determine the best of the two event dates;

And that Council consider selecting the Rotary Club of Orangeville as the “Charity of Choice” for the fundraising component of the Urban Slide Event.

11.2 Redevelopment of Mill Square Park and Former Orangeville Hydro Property (PW-2016-05)

A report from Public Works, dated February 1, 2016.

Recommendation:

For the consideration of Council.

11.3 Agreement for Winter Maintenance of GO Transit – Metrolinx Bus Stops and 49 Townline Terminal (PW-2016-03)

A report from Public Works, dated February 1, 2016.

Recommendation:

That report PW-2016-03, dated February 1, 2016, Agreement for Winter Maintenance of Go Transit – Metrolinx Bus and 49 Townline Terminal, be received;

And that Council consider a by-law authorizing the Mayor and Clerk to sign an agreement with GO Transit – Metrolinx to undertake winter maintenance control for the period ending April 30, 2016 at various bus stop locations and at the Townline Terminal;

And that Council authorize staff to have the option to extend the Agreement on the same terms for an additional two winters.
11.4 Agreement for Winter Maintenance of Wellington-Dufferin Guelph Health Unit Parking Lot, 174 Broadway (PW-2016-04)

A report from Public Works, dated February 1, 2016.

Recommendation:

That report PW-2016-04, dated February 1, 2016, Agreement for Winter Maintenance of the Wellington-Dufferin-Guelph Health Unit (WDGHU) Parking Lot, 174 Broadway, be received;

And that Council consider a by-law authorizing the Mayor and Clerk to sign an agreement with the Wellington-Dufferin-Guelph Health Unit to undertake winter maintenance control for the period ending April 30, 2016 at 174 Broadway in the Town of Orangeville;

And that Council authorize staff to have the option to extend the Agreement on the same terms for an additional two winter seasons.

11.5 Heritage Orangeville - Creek Signage Project

A report from Heritage Orangeville, dated February 1, 2016.

Recommendation:

That the report from Heritage Orangeville, dated February 1, 2016, Creek Signage Project, be received;

And that the Creek Signage Project as outlined within the report be approved for completion in 2016;

And that funds remaining in the 2015 Arts and Culture Committee and Heritage Orangeville budgets be placed in a reserve for the completion of this project in 2016 with remaining funds contributed by 2016 Arts and Culture Committee and Heritage Orangeville budgets.

11.6 Appointments to Boards and Committees (CL-2016-03)

A report from Clerk's, dated February 1, 2016.

Recommendation:

That report CL-2016-03, dated February 1, 2016, with respect to applications to serve on the Orangeville Sustainability Action Team (OSAT) and Heritage Orangeville, be received;

And that Council consider the committee applications as presented.
11.7 **Arts and Culture Committee – Resignation – Mary T. Rose**

A memorandum from the Arts and Culture Committee, dated February 1, 2016.

**Recommendation:**

That the memorandum from the Arts and Culture Committee, dated February 1, 2016, be received;

And that the correspondence from Mary T. Rose, dated January 12, 2016, with respect to resignation from the Arts and Culture Committee, be received.

12 **Committee Minutes** (C06)

12.1 The following minutes are presented for the information of Council:

- Arts and Culture Committee – December 9
- Finance and Administration Committee – January 11
- Orangeville Public Library Board – December 16
- Orangeville Seniors/Age Friendly Community Committee – December 2
- Property Standards Committee – January 12
- Sign Development Committee – December 2

**Recommendation:**

That the minutes of the meetings listed be received.

13 **Resolutions**

14 **By-laws**

(Agreements not attached to the by-laws are available in the Clerk’s Department for perusal).

**Bill 016 – 011** A by-law to confirm the proceedings of the Corporation of the Town of Orangeville at its regular meeting of Council held on January 18, 2016.

**Bill 016 – 012** A by-law to amend By-law 025-2015, being the Boards and Committees By-law for the Town of Orangeville (Economic Development Committee, Events Committee, Library Board, Orangeville Sustainability Action Team (OSAT), Recreation Committee, Taxi By-law Review Committee).

**Bill 016 – 013** A by-law to authorize a term lease for agricultural use with the Ministry of Transportation (Dragonfly Park).
15 Consideration of Notices of Motion Given at Previous Meeting

15.1.1 Crosswalk – Fourth Avenue (Councillor Kidd)

That staff investigate the feasibility of installing a crosswalk on Fourth Avenue at the entrance to Zehrs to facilitate safe crossing to the bus stop on the north side of Fourth Avenue.

15.1.2 Draft Policy – Council Expenses (Councillor Bradley)

Whereas there is value to be gained from the attendance of Town Councillors at events of interest to municipal government;

And whereas these events contribute to continuous learning and development, and better equip Councillors to deal with the wide range and depth of governance issues facing municipalities;

And whereas these events provide a forum to exchange ideas, best practices, and expertise on municipal government related issues;

And whereas expenses associated with attendance at such events must be reasonable and necessarily incurred by those attending;

And whereas it is prudent to ensure that there are established procedures in place with respect to Councillor attendance at events, and to provide for the approval and reimbursement of expenses incurred by those persons attending;

Therefore be it resolved that Council direct staff to report to Council by May 30, 2016 with a draft policy for Council's consideration, with respect to Councillor attendance at events and reimbursement of expenses incurred by persons attending such events, based on the best practices of other municipalities.

15.1.3 Advertising – Visitor Information Centre (Councillor Garisto)

Whereas there may be an opportunity for modest revenue generation through a new advertising program at the Visitor Information Centre;

Therefore be it resolved that Council direct staff to prepare a report regarding a new advertising program that will solicit interest and bids from local real estate firms for the display of available properties at the Visitor Information Centre located at 200 Lakeview Court.
15.2 Notices of Motion for Consideration at the March 7, 2016 Meeting

15.2.1 Advertising – Water Storage Facilities (Councillor Garisto)

That Council direct staff to investigate the feasibility of the Town generating revenue by permitting space on the Town’s two elevated water storage facilities (the Commerce Road Standpipe and the West Sector Reservoir on B Line) to be used for advertising.

15.2.2 Parking Accommodations (Councillor Garisto)

Whereas the Town`s Zoning By-law requires one parking space per building containing one dwelling unit;

And whereas the Town`s Zoning By-law recognizes that a garage constitutes a parking space;

And whereas the minimum front yard setback in residential zones is sufficient to accommodate a standard vehicle parking on the driveway, meaning that any house with a single-car garage has a minimum of two parking spaces available;

And whereas many residents have more than two vehicles;

And whereas Town By-laws prohibit parking over the sidewalk;

And whereas there is typically not sufficient space to park a vehicle perpendicular to the road between the sidewalk and the curb;

And whereas on-street parking is not permitted overnight between December 1 and March 31 to facilitate winter control;

Therefore be it resolved that Council direct staff to investigate options to accommodate parking beyond the two parking spaces generally available for dwelling units that have a sidewalk on the abutting boulevard (detached homes, semi-detached homes, townhouses).

16 New Business

(Including verbal reports on Associations, Boards and Committees on which Council has representatives).
17 Closed Meeting

That a closed meeting of Council be held for the purposes of considering the following subject matter, pursuant to Section 239(2) of the Municipal Act:

(b) personal matters about an identifiable individual, including municipal or local board employees (Appointments to Committees, CAO – Staff Update)

(d) labour relations or employee negotiations (CAO – Staff Update);

(e) litigation or potential litigation, including matters before administrative tribunals, affecting the municipality or local board (legal advice re potential litigation)

(f) advice that is subject to solicitor-client privilege, including communications necessary for that purpose (Legal advice re potential litigation)

(g) a matter in respect of which a council, board, committee or other body may hold a closed meeting under another Act: Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act, s. 11, Economic Interests (Contract with OSPCA)

18 Adjournment
Members Present | Staff Present
---|---
Mayor | J. Williams | Mr. E. Brennan, Interim Chief Administrative Officer
| | | Mr. V. Douglas, Director of Building and
Councillors: | | By-law Enforcement
G. Campbell | | Ms. D. Fraser, Chief Librarian
D. Kidd | | Ms. S. Greatrix, Clerk
N. Garisto | | Mr. D. Jones, Director of Public Works
S. Wilson | | Ms. N. Tuckett, Director of Economic Development.

Absent (Notice):
Councillor: S. Bradley | Ms. J. Gohn, Manager, Human Resources
Deputy Mayor | W. Maycock | Chief W. Kalinski, Orangeville Police Service
| | Ms. R. Phillips, Manager, Economic Development
| | Ms. D. Armstrong, IT Technician

Singing of National Anthem

Disclosure of (Direct or Indirect) Pecuniary Interest

Councillor Garisto declared a pecuniary interest related to Report PW-2015-54 – Request for Proposal for Engineering Design and Contract Administration Services of the Reconstruction of First Avenue, referred to in the minutes of the December 7, 2015 and December 16, 2015 Council meetings and Bill No. 016-008 listed in the January 18, 2016 Agenda, since his son is employed by one of the bidders.

No disclosure of pecuniary interest was made by any other member of Council.
Approval of Agenda

1. Moved by Councillor Garisto
   Seconded by Councillor Campbell

   That the agenda of January 18, 2016 be approved.

   
   Councillor Wilson Yes
   Councillor Bradley Absent
   Councillor Campbell Yes
   Councillor Kidd Yes
   Councillor Garisto Yes
   Deputy Mayor Maycock Absent
   Mayor Williams Yes

   Carried Unanimously.

Adoption of Minutes of Previous Council Meetings

2. Moved by Councillor Campbell
   Seconded by Councillor Wilson

   The minutes of a regular and closed meetings of Council held on December 7, 2015, and special and closed meetings of Council held December 16, and December 21, 2015, be adopted.

   
   Councillor Wilson Yes
   Councillor Bradley Absent
   Councillor Campbell Yes
   Councillor Kidd Yes
   Councillor Garisto Conflict
   Deputy Mayor Maycock Absent
   Mayor Williams Yes

   Carried Unanimously.

3. Moved by Councillor Wilson
   Seconded by Councillor Kidd

   The minutes of a special meeting of Council held January 11, 2016, be adopted.
Councillor Wilson       Yes
Councillor Bradley     Absent
Councillor Campbell    Yes
Councillor Kidd        Yes
Councillor Garisto     Yes
Deputy Mayor Maycock  Absent
Mayor Williams        Yes

Carried Unanimously.

Proclamations and Presentations

At the Mayor’s request, Ms. D. Fraser, Chief Librarian, announced that Family Literacy Day, Saturday, January 23, 2016, will be celebrated at the Library with a story time featuring Councillor Scott Wilson.

January 2016 Crime Stoppers Month
January National Alzheimer’s Awareness Month

Question Period

Stephanie Wilson, 98 Diane Drive, addressed Council with respect to the lighting at the Lions Sports Pad. She noted that new ballasts and bulbs have been installed and suggested that Council consider another trial period with the lighting available between 5:00 and 8:00 p.m.

Petitions and Delegations

Abundant Solar Energy Inc. – 10 Centennial Road – Rooftop Solar FIT Project (M04)

Mr. Rob Hitchcock, Business Development Manager, Abundant Solar Energy Inc., addressed Council with respect to approval of a FIT application to facilitate the installation of a rooftop solar project located at 10 Centennial Road, and requested confirmation of a resolution approved by Council on November 4, 2013.

4. Moved by Councillor Campbell
   Seconded by Councillor Garisto

   Whereas capitalized terms not defined herein have the meanings ascribed thereto in the FIT Contract, Version 3.1;
Whereas capitalized terms not defined herein have the meanings ascribed thereto in the FIT Contract, Version 3.1;

And whereas 2387276 Ontario Inc. (the "Supplier") has entered into a FIT Contract to construct and operate a Solar (PV) Rooftop Facility (the "Project") on 10 Centennial Road, Orangeville, Ontario L9W 1P8 (the "Lands") in the Town of Orangeville (the "Local Municipality") under the Province's FIT Program;

And whereas the Supplier previously requested that the Council of the Town of Orangeville ("Council") indicate by resolution Council's support for the construction and operation of the Project on the Lands or all Projects with the same Renewable Fuel anywhere in the Local Municipality, and Council did provide such support in a prior resolution;

And whereas the Supplier has requested that the Council indicate, by a resolution dated no earlier than June 10, 2015 (the "New Resolution"), Council's continued support for the construction and operation of the Project on the Lands;

And whereas where a New Resolution is received in respect of a Project, the Supplier will be recognized as fulfilling the requirements under Section 2.4(d)(vii) of the FIT Contract, which may result in the Supplier being offered Notice to Proceed in accordance with the terms of the Supplier's FIT Contract;

Now therefore be it resolved that:

The Council of the Town of Orangeville supports the construction and operation of the Project on the Lands.

This resolution's sole purpose is to enable the Supplier to achieve Notice to Proceed under its FIT Contract and may not be used for the purpose of any other form of municipal approval in relation to the FIT Contract or Project or for any other purpose.

Councillor Wilson  No
Councillor Bradley  Absent
Councillor Campbell  Yes
Councillor Kidd  No
Councillor Garisto  Yes
Deputy Mayor Maycock  Absent
Mayor Williams  Yes

Carried.
Official Plan Review – Jim Dyment

Mr. Jim Dyment, Consultant, MHBC Planning, presented the Official Plan Review.

5. Moved by Councillor Wilson  
   Seconded by Councillor Campbell

   That the information presented by Mr. Jim Dyment, Consultant, MHBC Planning, with respect to the Official Plan Review, be received.

   Councillor Wilson Yes  
   Councillor Bradley Absent  
   Councillor Campbell Yes  
   Councillor Kidd Yes  
   Councillor Garisto Yes  
   Deputy Mayor Maycock Absent  
   Mayor Williams Yes

   Carried Unanimously.

Correspondence

Orangeville Business Improvement Area (OBIA) – Parking Restrictions (A01)

Correspondence from the OBIA, dated December 11, 2015, with respect to changes to the overnight parking restriction in the OBIA and Town’s jointly owned parking lots at 82-90 Broadway, was presented.

A memorandum from Public Works, dated January 18, 2016, was presented.

6. Moved by Councillor Wilson  
   Seconded by Councillor Garisto

   That the correspondence from the OBIA, dated December 11, 2015, with respect to changes to the overnight parking restriction in the OBIA and Town’s jointly owned parking lots at 82-90 Broadway, be received;

And that the memorandum from Public Works, dated January 18, 2016, be received;
And that staff work with the OBIA to develop an overnight parking plan for the municipally owned parking lot at 82-90 Broadway and that Council consider a by-law to amend the Traffic By-law.

Councillor Wilson  Yes
Councillor Bradley  Absent
Councillor Campbell  Yes
Councillor Kidd  Yes
Councillor Garisto  Yes
Deputy Mayor Maycock  Absent
Mayor Williams  Yes

Carried Unanimously.

Orangeville Against Drunk Driving (OADD) – Narinder Paul Singh

Correspondence from Narinder Paul Singh, 17 Town Line, L9W 3R4, dated January 5, 2016, with respect to a proposal to provide free car rides, was presented.

7. Moved by Councillor Garisto
   Seconded by Councillor Wilson

That the correspondence from Narinder Paul Singh, 17 Town Line, L9W 3R4, dated January 5, 2016, with respect to a proposal to provide free car rides, be received.

Councillor Wilson  Yes
Councillor Bradley  Absent
Councillor Campbell  Yes
Councillor Kidd  Yes
Councillor Garisto  Yes
Deputy Mayor Maycock  Absent
Mayor Williams  Yes

Carried Unanimously.

Information Items

The following items were presented for the information of Council:
Notice of a Public Meeting Re: Plan of Subdivision Application – 48 C Line – S 1/15

Notice of a Public Meeting to be held February 1, 2016, 7 p.m. regarding a Plan of Subdivision Application, 48 C Line.

Certificate of Approval – Site Plan Application (D11)

SP 4/14 – Riddell Park Place Inc., 515 Riddell Road.

Heritage Permit Applications

HR 20/15 – Craft Happy, 125 Broadway.
HR 18/15 – Noinkee’s Inc., 195 Broadway.

Town of Mono – Resolution – “A Blueprint for Change” – Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry (C10)

Copy of a resolution from the Town of Mono, dated December 9, 2015, with respect to the Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry regarding “A Blueprint for Change”.

Township of Adjala-Tosorontio – Resolution – CTC Source Protection Committee – Appointment of Municipal Representative (C10)

Copy of a resolution from the Township of Adjala – Tosorontio, dated December 18, 2015, with respect to the appointment of a municipal representative to CTC Source Protection Committee.

OGRA Board of Directors – Notice of Poll (A01)

Notice of Poll of the recommendations of the OGRA Nominating Committee.

Sunvale Homes – Congratulatory Letter (M02)

Congratulatory letter from Sunvale Homes, dated December 2, 2015.

8. Moved by Councillor Garisto
Seconded by Councillor Campbell

That the information items noted above be received.
Councillor Wilson  Yes
Councillor Bradley  Absent
Councillor Campbell  Yes
Councillor Kidd  Yes
Councillor Garisto  Yes
Deputy Mayor Maycock  Absent
Mayor Williams  Yes

Carried Unanimously.

Reports

Part Lot Control Exemption Application –Sarah Properties Ltd.
PLC 3/15 (Part of Block 70 – Registered Plan 7M-57) (PL-2016-01)

A report from Economic Development, Planning and Innovation, dated January 18, 2016, was presented.

9. Moved by Councillor Wilson
   Seconded by Councillor Garisto

   That report PL-2016-01, dated January 18, 2016, Part Lot Control Exemption Application PLC 3/15, as submitted by Sarah Properties Ltd., be received;

   And that Part Lot Control Exemption application PLC 3/15 be approved and a by-law be enacted to suspend the part lot control provisions of the Planning Act for a portion of Block 70 on Registered Plan 7M-57 as identified on the Reference Plan attached as Attachment 2 to this report.

Councillor Wilson  Yes
Councillor Bradley  Absent
Councillor Campbell  Yes
Councillor Kidd  Yes
Councillor Garisto  Yes
Deputy Mayor Maycock  Absent
Mayor Williams  Yes

Carried Unanimously.

Cultural Entrepreneurship Pilot Project (ED-2016-02)

A report from Economic Development, Planning and Innovation, dated January 18, 2016, was presented.
10. Moved by Councillor Campbell  
Seconded by Councillor Garisto  

That report ED-2016-02, dated January 18, 2016, Orangeville and Area Cultural Entrepreneur Pilot Project, be received;  

And that the Mayor and Clerk be authorized to sign the Agreement between Her Majesty the Queen in right of the Province of Ontario and The Corporation of the Town of Orangeville for the delivery of the Cultural Entrepreneurship Pilot Project and any other documents related to this initiative.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Councillor Wilson</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Councillor Bradley</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor Campbell</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor Kidd</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor Garisto</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deputy Mayor Maycock</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mayor Williams</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Carried Unanimously.

2016 Summer Company Agreement (ED-2016-01)  

A report from Economic Development, Planning and Innovation, dated January 18, 2016, was presented.  

11. Moved by Councillor Wilson  
Seconded by Councillor Garisto  

That report ED-2016-01, dated January 18, 2016, 2016 Summer Company Agreement, be received;  

And that the Mayor and Clerk be authorized to sign the Agreement between Her Majesty the Queen in Right of the Province of Ontario and The Corporation of the Town of Orangeville for the delivery of the 2016 Summer Company Program and any other documents related to this initiative.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Councillor Wilson</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Councillor Bradley</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor Campbell</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor Kidd</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor Garisto</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deputy Mayor Maycock</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mayor Williams</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Carried Unanimously.
Update to Woolwich Dairy Overstrength Agreement (PW-2016-02)

A report from Public Works, dated January 18, 2016, was presented.

12. Moved by Councillor Garisto
   Seconded by Councillor Wilson

   That report PW-2016-02, dated January 18, 2016, Update to Woolwich Dairy Overstrength Agreement, be received;

   And that Council consider a By-law authorizing the Mayor and Clerk to sign an agreement with Saputo Dairy Products Canada G.P. (Saputo) to assume all Woolwich Dairy Inc.’s (Woolwich) rights and responsibilities under the January 15, 2004 Overstrength Agreement between Woolwich and the Town.

   Councillor Wilson  Yes
   Councillor Bradley  Absent
   Councillor Campbell Yes
   Councillor Kidd    Yes
   Councillor Garisto Yes
   Deputy Mayor Maycock Absent
   Mayor Williams    Yes

   Carried Unanimously.

2015 Annual Risk Management Official Report (PW-2016-01)

A report from Public Works, dated January 18, 2016, was presented.

13. Moved by Councillor Garisto
    Seconded by Councillor Kidd

    That report PW-2016-01, dated January 18, 2016, 2015 Annual Risk Management Official Report, be received;

    And that staff be directed to submit the 2015 Risk Management Official Report: Town of Orangeville to the CTC Source Protection Authority by February 1, 2016 to comply with the requirements of Section 81 of the Clean Water Act, 2006 and Section 65 of O. Reg. 287/07.
Councillor Wilson  Yes
Councillor Bradley  Absent
Councillor Campbell  Yes
Councillor Kidd  Yes
Councillor Garisto  Yes
Deputy Mayor Maycock  Absent
Mayor Williams  Yes

Carried Unanimously.

Appointments to Boards and Committees (CL-2016-01)

A report from Clerks, dated January 18, 2016, was presented.

14. Moved by Councillor Garisto  
   Seconded by Councillor Kidd

That report CL-2016-01, dated January 18, 2016, with respect to applications to serve on the Events Committee, Heritage Orangeville, Library Board, Orangeville Sustainability Action Team, Recreation Committee and the Taxi By-law Review Committee, be received;

And that Council consider the committee applications as presented.

Councillor Wilson  Yes
Councillor Bradley  Absent
Councillor Campbell  Yes
Councillor Kidd  Yes
Councillor Garisto  Yes
Deputy Mayor Maycock  Absent
Mayor Williams  Yes

Carried Unanimously.

Lions Sports Pad Lighting (PR-2016-01)

A report from Parks and Recreation, dated January 18, 2016, was presented.

Bill Theeuwen, 59 Bythia Street representing the Orangeville Lions Club, advised that the lighting installed in October, 2015 had 1500 watt bulbs and produced 33 foot-candles of lighting, in compliance with the requirements of the Town and risk management advisors at that time.
Mr. Theeuwen noted that the Town has obtained an engineer’s report indicating that the lighting can be reduced to 1000 watts and 22 foot-candles. Mr. Theeuwen confirmed that the Lions Club recommendation is that the lights be turned on Sunday to Thursday from dusk until 10:00 p.m. and on Friday and Saturday from dusk until 10:30 p.m.

Stephanie Wilson, 98 Diane Drive, recommended another test period to confirm that the lights have been set and angled appropriately. In response to her enquiry about the timer, Mr. E. Brennan, Interim Chief Administrative Officer, advised that staff is working with the installer to determine if the timer can be set to turn the lights off after 10 minutes if motion sensors do not pick up any movement.

Kevin Bowden, 92 Diane Drive, advised of his concerns about light spillage and pollution in the surrounding area during the winter because the lights are pointed in the wrong direction. He further noted that in the summer the concern is noise pollution. Mr. Bowden suggested that 10:30 p.m. is not unreasonable in the winter if the lighting is shrouded and does not spill onto neighbouring properties, but in the summer time the lights should be turned off and park use limited to daylight hours.

15. Moved by Councillor Kidd
Seconded by Councillor Garisto

That report PR-2016-01, dated January 18, 2016, Lions Sport Pad Lighting, be received;

And that the lighting be available on Sunday through Thursday from dusk to 10:00 p.m. and on Friday and Saturday from dusk to 10:30 p.m.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Councillor</th>
<th>Vote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wilson</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradley</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campbell</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidd</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garisto</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maycock</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Carried Unanimously.

Mr. Terry Sanderson Tree Sculpture Memorial
2016 Recreation Committee Funding (PR-2016-02)

A report from Parks and Recreation, dated January 18, 2016, was presented.
16. Moved by Councillor Kidd  
Seconded by Councillor Wilson

That report PR-2016-02, dated January 18, 2016, Recreation Committee Funding, Mr. Terry Sanderson Tree Sculpture Memorial, be received;

And that Council approve $7,500.00 in funding for the 2016 Recreation Committee budget in order for staff to proceed with the tree sculpture project honouring the late Mr. Terry Sanderson to be unveiled in June 2016 at the Barry Burman Lacrosse Tournament.

Councillor Wilson          Yes  
Councillor Bradley        Absent  
Councillor Campbell       Yes  
Councillor Kidd           Yes  
Councillor Garisto        Yes  
Deputy Mayor Maycock      Absent  
Mayor Williams            Yes  

Carried Unanimously.

Committee Minutes (C06)

The following minutes were presented for the information of Council:

Access Orangeville – November 3  
Arts and Culture Committee – November 11  
Committee of Adjustment – January 6  
Heritage Orangeville – November 25  
Orangeville Public Library Board – November 25  
Orangeville Seniors/Age Friendly Community Committee – November 4  
Orangeville Sustainability Action Team Committee – November 18, December 14

17. Moved by Councillor Garisto  
Seconded by Councillor Wilson

That the minutes of the meetings listed be received.

Councillor Wilson          Yes  
Councillor Bradley        Absent  
Councillor Campbell       Yes  
Councillor Kidd           Yes  
Councillor Garisto        Yes  
Deputy Mayor Maycock      Absent  
Mayor Williams            Yes  

Carried Unanimously.
By-laws (C01)

18. Moved by Councillor Wilson
    Seconded by Councillor Campbell

That bills 16-003 to 16-006 and bills 16-008 to 16-011 be read, enacted, signed, sealed and numbered as follows:

By-law 003-2016  A by-law to confirm the proceedings of the Corporation of the Town of Orangeville at its regular meeting of Council held on December 7, 2015 and special meetings of Council held on December 16, 2015, December 21, 2015 and January 11, 2016.

By-law 004-2016  A by-law to provide for the levy and collection of rates or levies for the Town of Orangeville for the interim levy for the year 2016 and to provide for the mailing of notices demanding payment of taxes for the interim levy for the year 2016.

By-law 005-2016  A by-law to authorize an agreement with London Publishing Inc./Orangeville Citizen for the weekly Town page and corporate advertising.

By-law 006-2016  A by-law to exempt Part Lot Control (Sarah Properties Ltd., Part of Block 70, Plan 7M-57, PLC 3/15).(Report PL-2016-01, Jan. 18/16).

By-law 007-2016  A by-law to authorize an agreement with Triton Engineering Services Limited for professional consulting services (Reconstruction – First Avenue). (Report PW-2015-54, Dec. 7/15). Nick - Conflict

By-law 008-2016  A by-law to authorize an agreement with Aquafor Beech Limited for professional consulting services (Mill Creek restoration).

By-law 009-2016  A by-law to authorize a funding agreement with the Minister of Economic Development, Employment and Infrastructure (Summer Company Program). (Report ED-2016-01, Jan. 18/16).
By-law 010-2016  
A by-law to authorize a funding agreement with 
the Minister of Research and Innovation 
(Cultural Entrepreneurship Pilot Project). 
(Report ED-2016-02, Jan. 18/16).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Councillor Wilson</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Councillor Bradley</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor Campbell</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor Kidd</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor Garisto</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deputy Mayor Maycock</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mayor Williams</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Carried Unanimously.

Resolutions

Consideration of Notice of Motion Given at Previous Meeting

Funding Request – Infrastructure (Councillor Garisto)

19. Moved by Councillor Garisto  
    Seconded by Councillor Kidd

Whereas other municipalities have received substantial funding towards major infrastructure programs;

And that Orangeville has committed to upgrading our own plant in part to meet provincial and federal requirements;

And that the mayor be directed to request in writing $7,500,000 from the provincial government and $7,500,000 from the federal government, and that should we be successful in getting it, it is to be applied to the cost of our sewage treatment plant upgrade;

And that the Mayor, Chair of Finance and Administration and any other Councillors who would like to participate, and the appropriate members of Staff, attend if a meeting is required either in Ottawa or Queen’s Park;

And that a copy of this resolution be forwarded to the Prime Minister of Canada, Premier of Ontario, the appropriate federal and provincial ministries that deal with infrastructure funding, local MP David Tilson and local MPP Sylvia Jones.
Notice of Motion for Consideration at February 1, 2016 Meeting

Crosswalk – Fourth Avenue (Councillor Kidd)

That staff investigate the feasibility of installing a crosswalk on Fourth Avenue at the entrance to Zehrs to facilitate safe crossing to the bus stop on the north side of Fourth Avenue.

Draft Policy – Council Expenses (Councillor Bradley)

Whereas there is value to be gained from the attendance of Town Councillors at events of interest to municipal government;

And whereas these events contribute to continuous learning and development, and better equip Councillors to deal with the wide range and depth of governance issues facing municipalities;

And whereas these events provide a forum to exchange ideas, best practices, and expertise on municipal government related issues;

And whereas expenses associated with attendance at such events must be reasonable and necessarily incurred by those attending;

And whereas it is prudent to ensure that there are established procedures in place with respect to Councillor attendance at events, and to provide for the approval and reimbursement of expenses incurred by those persons attending;

Therefore be it resolved that Council direct staff to report to Council by May 30, 2016 with a draft policy for Council’s consideration, with respect to Councillor attendance at events and reimbursement of expenses incurred by persons attending such events, based on the best practices of other municipalities.
Advertising – Visitor Information Centre (Councillor Garisto)

Whereas there may be an opportunity for modest revenue generation through a new advertising program at the Visitor Information Centre;

Therefore be it resolved that Council direct staff to prepare a report regarding a new advertising program that will solicit interest and bids from local real estate firms for the display of available properties at the Visitor Information Centre located at 200 Lakeview Court.

Closed Meeting

20. Moved by Councillor Kidd
   Seconded by Councillor Wilson

That Council move into a closed meeting as authorized by the Municipal Act, 2001, Section 239(2) 2014 for the purposes of discussing the following subject matters:

(b) personal matters about an identifiable individual, including municipal or local board employees (Appointments to Town Committees, CAO Staffing Update);

(d) labour relations or employee negotiations (CAO – Staffing Update);

(g) a matter in respect of which a council, board, committee or other body may hold a closed meeting under another Act: Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act, s. 10, Third Party Information and s. 11, Economic Interests (Tourism Information Centre Lease, contracts with third parties).

Councillor Wilson Yes
Councillor Bradley Absent
Councillor Campbell Yes
Councillor Kidd Yes
Councillor Garisto Yes
Deputy Mayor Maycock Absent
Mayor Williams Yes

Carried Unanimously.

Public Meeting recessed.  (Time: 9:00 p.m.)
Public Meeting reconvened.  (Time: 10:46 p.m.)
Adjournment

21. Moved by Councillor Wilson  
    Seconded by Councillor Campbell  

That the meeting adjourn.  

(Time: 10:46 p.m.)  

Carried Unanimously.

__________________________________________  
Jeremy D Williams, Mayor  

__________________________________________  
Susan Greatrix, Clerk
Proclamation

February 1 to 7, 2016

Eating Disorder Awareness Week

I, Mayor Jeremy D Williams, do hereby proclaim February 1 to 7, 2016 as “Eating Disorder Awareness Week” in the Town of Orangeville;

Whereas, nearly 1 million Canadians are diagnosed with an eating disorder at any given time; however, millions of others go undiagnosed and struggle in silence because of the stigma and secrecy that still surrounds eating disorders causing many people who are suffering to refrain from seeking help; and

Whereas, eating disorders have the highest mortality rate of any mental illness and can develop in anyone, regardless of age, ethno-racial background, socioeconomic status, gender or ability; and

Whereas, 50% of Canadians know someone (including themselves) who has (or has had) an eating disorder and 35% admit they would not be able to recognize the signs of an eating disorder in a friend or family member; and

Whereas, Eating Disorder Awareness Week (EDAW), recognized since 1988, seeks to raise awareness of the prevalence and impacts of eating disorders, to shed light on the dangerous myths that surround them and to celebrate the natural diversity of bodies; and

Whereas, early identification of symptoms is important for getting support, diagnosis and treatment; and

Whereas, the National Eating Disorder Information Centre (NEDIC) is dedicated to helping those with eating disorders and was a founding member of the international drive to institute Eating Disorder Awareness Week. NEDIC provides education and information as well as support and referrals for those with eating disorders, their families, friends and health care professionals.

Now Therefore, I urge all citizens of our community to educate themselves concerning eating disorders and offer support to those in need as we recognize Eating Disorder Awareness Week in the Town of Orangeville.

Jeremy D Williams
Mayor
Proclamation
February 15-21, 2016
Heritage Week

I, Mayor Jeremy D Williams, do hereby proclaim February 15-21, 2016 as “Heritage Week” in the Town of Orangeville.

Whereas, Heritage Week provides a unique opportunity for the people of Ontario to recognize our province’s history, unique cultural structures, magnificent natural landscape and familiar sites, and all the aspects that make up our rich heritage. Heritage Week also provides a unique opportunity to recognize the vital work of heritage organizations and volunteers across Ontario; and

Whereas, Heritage Orangeville has chosen the 2016 Ontario Heritage theme “Medical Science and Innovation”, to recognize developments in healthcare and the rich legacy of medical science and innovation within our own community and across Ontario; and

Whereas, during Heritage Week 2016, communities across the province are encouraged to reflect on how medical science and innovation has shaped our culture.

Now Therefore, members of Council and Heritage Orangeville urge all residents and business owners to reflect on what the Town’s heritage means to them by honouring the contribution of medical science and innovation over the years in affecting the lives of our citizens.

Heritage Week is a reminder to us that our past is an important part of our future. Celebrate the history of Orangeville and our nation with us.

Jeremy D Williams
Mayor
Information Item

Subject: Plan of Subdivision Application – S 1/15
Harbour View Investments Ltd.
48 C Line

Department: Economic Development, Planning and Innovation
Report #: PL-2016-04
Meeting Date: February 1, 2016

Recommendation
For the information of Council.

Background

The subject property is located between Thompson Road and C Line, municipally known as 48 C Line (Attachment 1). It has approximately 45 metres (147 feet) of frontage on C Line and approximately 5 metres (16 feet) of frontage on Thompson Road. The parcel is 0.51 hectares (1.25 acres) in size and there is an existing single detached dwelling on the property.

The subject lands are surrounded by residential uses of varying densities. To the north is a townhouse development consisting of 12 freehold townhouse units fronting on Thompson Road and 38 common element condominium townhouse units currently under construction with direct access to C Line. To the south is a 57 unit low rise condominium apartment building. On the east side of C Line is low density residential in the form of single detached dwellings as well as a religious institution. To the west are six freehold townhouse dwellings fronting on Thompson Road which were constructed by the previous owner of the subject property.

The Official Plan Amendment and Zoning By-law Amendment application to permit the proposed development was approved on May 31, 2010 and the by-laws enacted on September 26, 2011. At the time of the Official Plan Amendment and Zoning By-law
Amendment application, the owner was proposing 6 freehold townhouse units fronting on Thompson Road and a condominium development consisting of 18 townhouse dwellings. The approved Official Plan Amendment and Zoning By-law Amendment permitted the form and density of the proposed development. A site plan application for 6 freehold townhouse units and an 18 unit condominium development was submitted in 2011 however, the owner decided to have the approved plans reflect the 6 freehold townhouse dwelling units only. The intention was that at the time when the owner wanted to proceed with the condominium development, a subsequent site plan application would be submitted. The six freehold townhouses fronting on Thompson Road were built shortly after site plan approval was granted in 2012. The subject property was sold shortly after construction of those units.

The current owner proposes to demolish the existing single detached dwelling and construct a total of 16 townhouse dwellings, 3 single detached dwellings and a private road as part of a common element condominium development rather than the 18 unit common element condominium development that was initially proposed. The development will be accessed from C Line and the common element condominium development to the north. The draft plan of subdivision (Attachment 2) includes a total of 6 Blocks with 3 blocks for each of the single detached dwellings, two blocks for the townhouse dwellings and one block for the private road.

In order to proceed with the development, a Plan of Subdivision is required as the subject property is not part of a registered plan. A Plan of Subdivision will create a registered plan to allow the owner to apply for an Exemption from Part Lot Control at a future date to subdivide the 19 lots. If the subject property was not part of a registered plan, there would be no feasible way to subdivide the 19 dwelling units into individual lots other than through the severance process which is onerous and can be fraught with errors and would generally be discouraged because of the number of lots being created.

In a common element condominium development, developers work with their solicitor to create and sell separate parcels of tied land (POTL) to each purchase (in most cases this includes freehold dwelling units) which are not part of the condominium corporation. They then create a condominium corporation through the Plan of Condominium process which will own all of the common facilities, roads, services, amenity space, etc. The costs associated with these “common elements” are paid by the purchases of the POTLs as part of condominium fees, similar to a standard condominium.

The Plan of Subdivision application is needed for administrative purposes only to permit the further division of land. Site plan and Holding Symbol Removal applications have also been submitted and are being processed by staff. The Holding Symbol Removal application will be brought to Council at the time of registration of the M-Plan and corresponding subdivision agreement. Furthermore, an Exemption from Part Lot
Control and Plan of Condominium applications will also be required as part of the development.

**Analysis**

**Official Plan and Zoning By-law**

The subject property is designated “Low Density Multiple” which permits townhouse dwelling units and is identified as an Intensification Area in the Official Plan. The maximum permitted density is 49 units per net residential hectare and the owner is proposing 37.5 units per net residential hectare. Therefore, the type and density of the proposed development does comply with the Official Plan.

Furthermore, the lands are zoned Residential Seventh Density (R7) Zone with a Holding Symbol (H) by Zoning By-law 22-90, as amended. The proposed development is permitted under the current zoning.

**Comments Received**

**General Public**

Notice of the complete application and of the statutory public meeting was mailed to all property owners within 120 metres of the subject property and a notification sign was posted on the subject property as required under the Planning Act. Although not required, staff exceeded the requirements of the Planning Act and published a notice in the Orangeville Citizen on January 14, 2016.

To date, only one comment was received from the adjacent property at 60 C Line. The owner has agreed to provide landscaping on the subject property in addition to the property at 60 C Line to provide a buffer between the existing building and the proposed common element condominium development. A landscape plan has been prepared and upon detailed review by staff, will be included as part of the approval of the Plan of Subdivision and site plan applications.

**Internal Departments and Outside Agencies**

Although staff has received comments from some staff and external agencies with respect to the Plan of Subdivision and site plan applications, no concerns that would impact the Plan of Subdivision application have been noted.
Financial Impact

There is no financial impact to the Town arising from this application.

Vision and Values

The Vision and Values statement adopted by Council sets out a direction for the Town by presenting a set of core values that will guide Council’s and staff’s actions now and into the future. In particular, the statement outlines that the Town will “spend taxpayers’ money wisely and responsibly”. Staff processing this application will allow the proposed development, as approved by Council to proceed.

Respectfully submitted

Nancy Tuckett, MSc. Pl., BEd, MCIP, RPP
Director of Economic Development, Planning and Innovation

Prepared by

Sandy Little, BES, MCIP, RPP
Senior Planner

Attachments:

1. Location Map
2. Draft Plan of Subdivision
Subject: New Development Applications
Department: Economic Development, Planning and Innovation
Report #: PL-2016-03
Meeting Date: February 1, 2016

Recommendations
For the information of Council.

Purpose
The purpose of this report is to provide Council and the public with an update of all new development applications that have been received by the Planning Department since May 2015 when the last new development application report was provided to Council. The report also provides a listing of all on-going applications in progress that staff are currently processing. (See Attachments 1 to 4)

Background
The following applications have been received since May 2015:

Condominium Exemption Application CD 1/16 – Rayville Developments (formerly Sarah Properties Ltd.)

A Condominium Exemption application was received on January 18, 2016. The subject property is described as Block 70 of Registered Plan 7M-57 and is located on the west side of the east loop of Parkinson Crescent, south of the future Hansen Boulevard extension (see Location Map Attachment 5). The subject lands comprise an area of approximately 1.82 ha (4.5 acres). A Plan of Condominium application is required in order to develop the 70 townhouse dwellings as part of a common element condominium development.
Part Lot Control Application – PLC 3/15 Sarah Properties Block 70

A Part Lot Control application has been received for the subject property located on the west side of the east loop of Parkinson Crescent, south of the future Hansen Boulevard extension on December 10, 2015 (see Draft Reference Plan Attachment 6). The owner is requesting exemption from Part Lot Control for the 23 freehold townhouse units fronting onto Parkinson Crescent. This application was approved January 18, 2015.

Part Lot Control Application PLC 1/16 - Rayville Developments (formerly Sarah Properties Ltd.)

A Part Lot Control application has been received on January 18, 2016 for the subject property identified above (see Draft Reference Plan Attachment 7). The owner is requesting exemption from Part Lot Control for the 70 common element condominium townhouse units.

Part Lot Control Application – PLC 2/16 First Residences Inc. 60-62 First Street

A Part Lot Control application has been submitted on January 21, 2016 for the subject property located on the west side of First Street, north of Fead Street and municipally known as 60-62 First Street (see Location Map Attachment 8). The owner is requesting exemption from Part Lot Control for the 41 common element condominium townhouse units. The Site Plan application was approved February 9, 2015.

Holding Symbol Removal Application H 1/15 - 1390219 Ontario Inc. 56A First Street

A Holding Symbol Removal application was received for the property located at 56A First Street on June 11, 2015. The subject lands are located on the west side of First Street, north of Fead Street (see Location Map Attachment 9). A consent application was submitted by the owner of 58 First Street to sever a portion of that property to create a new parcel appropriate for redevelopment resulting in the creation of 56A First Street. The application was approved by the Committee of Adjustment in February 2014. The applicant was proposing a triplex dwelling with a shared access with 58 First Street to the north and parking located at the rear of the property. The Site Plan was approved on September 3, 2015 and the Holding Symbol was lifted formally on August 10, 2015. A building permit has since been issued for the subject site.

Holding Symbol Removal Application H 2/15 – Riddell Park Place

A Holding Symbol Removal application was received on July 7, 2015 for Block 9 on Registered Plan 7M-68 which is a portion of the overall Riddell Village subdivision. The applicant requested that the holding symbol be removed and sewage and water allocation be given for the proposed development which will include a 1,568.6 square metre neighbourhood commercial development including three restaurants, a pharmacy, a gas station, a convenience retail store and retail store units. The applicant requested that it be removed in anticipation of the subdivision agreement and M-Plan
being registered which will legally recognize all of the blocks within the Plan of Subdivision as separate properties therefore, allowing them to proceed to development. The Plan of Subdivision, M-Plan and Site Plan applications have been approved and registered on September 14, 2015 (see Location Map Attachment 10). The Holding Symbol Removal application was approved on August 10, 2015.

**Zoning By-law Amendment Application Z 1/15 and Holding Symbol Removal Application H 3/15 – Sarah Properties Ltd. Block 70**

The Zoning By-law Amendment application was received on March 23, 2015 and the Holding Symbol Removal application was received on July 14, 2015 for the property located on the west side of the east loop of Parkinson Crescent, south of the future Hansen Boulevard extension. The property is legally described as Block 70, Registered Plan 7M-57.

The owner is proposing to construct a townhouse dwelling development consisting of ninety-three (93) townhouse dwelling units. At total of twenty-three (23) townhouses are proposed to be freehold and will front onto Parkinson Crescent and seventy (70) townhouses are proposed to be common element condominium and will front onto an internal road.

The Zoning By-law Amendment and Holding Symbol Removal applications were approved by Council on August 10, 2015.

**Holding Symbol Removal Application H 4/15 – Harbour View Investments Ltd. 48 C Line**

A Holding Symbol Removal application was received on December 23, 2015 for the subject property located at 48 C Line, on the west side of C Line, between C Line and Thompson Road, (see Location Map Attachment 11).

The owner is proposing 16 townhouse dwellings, 3 single detached dwellings and one private road as part of a common element condominium development. In order to develop the 19 dwellings as part of a common element condominium development, a Plan of Subdivision is required as the subject property is not part of a Registered Plan. The proposed Plan of Subdivision will create approximately 6 blocks including one internal road and will allow the owner to apply for an exemption from Part Lot Control at a future date to further subdivide the 16 townhouse dwelling lots and the 3 single detached dwelling lots.
Site Plan Application – SP 5/15 Harbour View Investments Ltd. 48 C Line

A Site Plan application was submitted on December 2, 2015 for the subject property located at 48 C Line. The owner is proposing to construct a common element condominium development consisting of 16 townhouse dwelling units, 3 single detached dwelling units and one private road. (see Site Plan Attachment 12).

Site Plan Application – SP 4/15 Sarah Properties Block 70

A Site Plan application has been submitted for the subject property. The owner is proposing to construct a townhouse dwelling development consisting of ninety-three (93) townhouse dwelling units. A total of twenty-three (23) townhouses are proposed to be freehold and will front onto Parkinson Crescent and seventy (70) townhouses are proposed to be common element condominium and will front onto an internal road. The site plan was recently approved. (see Site Plan Attachment 6).

Plan of Subdivision Application – S 1/15 Harbour View Investments Ltd. 48 C Line

A Plan of Subdivision application was received on December 2, 2015. The subject property is located at 48 C Line, as described above (see Location Map Attachment 11). The owners are proposing a 19 unit development consisting of 16 common element condominium townhouse units and 3 single family dwellings. The public meeting for this application is being held on Monday February 1, 2016.

Financial Impact

There is no financial impact as a result of this report.

Respectfully submitted

Prepared by

Nancy Tuckett, MSc. PL., BEd, MCIP, RPP
Director of Economic Development, Planning and Innovation

Shari Sutton, Clerical Assistant

Attachments:
1. Current Applications
2. Current Application Map
3. Residential Subdivisions Recent Registrations and Current Proposals
4. Summary of Residential Plans
5. Location Map for Block 70  
6. Draft Reference Plan for Block 70  
7. Draft Reference Plan for Block 70  
8. Location Map for 60-62 First Street  
9. Location Map for 56 A First Street  
10. Location Map for Riddell Park Place  
11. Location Map for 48 C Line  
12. Site Plan Drawing for 48 C Line
Information Item

Subject: New Businesses in Orangeville – November 1 – December 31, 2015

Department: Economic Development, Planning and Innovation
Report #: ED-2016-04
Meeting Date: February 1, 2016

Recommendations

For the information of Council.

Background

The attached list of new businesses registered/established within the Town of Orangeville is developed as a result of input from a number of Town Departments, including the Small Business Enterprise Centre/Economic Development, Administration, Clerk’s Office, Planning, and Building/By-law.

Staff endeavour to provide a comprehensive and inclusive listing. However, our ability to include all businesses starting in Orangeville will be effected by the following limitations:

- Business registrations are completed via the internet and the Town does not have access to these registrations unless performed within the Economic Development/SBEC Resource Centre.
- Sole proprietors operating under their own legal names only are not required to register their businesses.
- A large number of home-based proprietorships are established each year, many of them offering very specific services to targeted markets. As a result of the volume of these operations, and for the convenience of Council, staff will provide numbers of home-based operations started in town, based on the information available to staff, as opposed to providing in-depth information about them.
Respectfully submitted

Nancy Tuckett, Director Economic Development, Planning & Innovation

Prepared by

Betty Ann Lusk, Administrative Assistant Economic Development/SBEC

Attachments:

1. Businesses Registered/Established within the Town of Orangeville, Commercial/Industrial Related Permits Issued – November 1 – December 31, 2015
### Businesses Registered/Established within the Town of Orangeville
#### November 1 – December 31, 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Name</th>
<th>Owner/Contact</th>
<th>Business Type</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Bread Depot</td>
<td>Travis Oldrieve</td>
<td>Wholesale</td>
<td>35 Robb Blvd. Unit 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kala Yoga Inc.</td>
<td>Laurin Wolf &amp; Jason Marasciello</td>
<td>Yoga Studio</td>
<td>229 Broadway, Unit 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headwaters Wellness and Rehab Clinic</td>
<td>Mohsin Samdani &amp; Mohammed Ali</td>
<td>Clinic</td>
<td>50 Rolling Hills Drive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bar on Broadway</td>
<td>Carol Stopforth Jean Sharkey</td>
<td>Restaurant</td>
<td>114 Broadway, Unit 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Commercial/Industrial Related Permits Issued
#### For New Construction/Expansions/Interior Renovations to Accommodate New Business
#### November 1 – December 31, 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Location</th>
<th>Owner/Contact</th>
<th>Intended Purpose</th>
<th>New Construction/Expansion/Interior Renovation</th>
<th>Construction Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25 Centennial Road, Unit 5</td>
<td>The Hamtor Group Inc.</td>
<td>Food Bank storage</td>
<td>Interior Renovation</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 Rolling Hills Drive, Unit 4 - 5</td>
<td>2391701 Ontario Inc.</td>
<td>Medical</td>
<td>Interior Renovation</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33-37 Broadway</td>
<td>2131997 Ontario Inc.</td>
<td>Medical</td>
<td>Interior Renovation</td>
<td>$140,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition, 6 home-based operations were registered within the Town of Orangeville during the period of November 1 – December 31, 2015. * Based on information available from various Town Departments.
Whereas subsection 42(1) of Part V of the Ontario Heritage Act RSO 1990, c.O.18, specifies that any changes to the exterior of buildings within a heritage conservation district must be approved by Council by the issuance of a permit;

And whereas on January 20, 2003, Council passed a resolution authorizing the Director of Economic Development, Planning and Innovation, in consultation with the appointed members of Heritage Orangeville, to review and issue heritage permits within the Downtown Orangeville Heritage Conservation District, on behalf of Council;

And whereas the application has been reviewed by the Director of Economic Development, Planning and Innovation (or her designate) and the appointed members of Heritage Orangeville;

Now therefore, the Director of Economic Development, Planning and Innovation confirms that the Heritage Permit HR 19/15 relating to the proposal to:

- paint the façade in beige with brown trim

is hereby approved, and a Heritage Permit issued for the works as described.

______________________________
Nancy Tuckett, MSc., Pl., BEd., MCIP, RPP
Director of Economic Development, Planning and Innovation

January 11, 2016
Date
This is to advise Council that the The Ontario Association of Committee of Adjustment & Consent Authorities (OACA) has chosen Orangeville as the host location for the 2016 fall seminar. The seminar will be held at the Best Western Inn & Suites. The exact date has not been finalized. It would either be September 22-23 or 29-30.

Each year the Association looks for new locations for its annual conference and fall seminar. This is the first time that Orangeville has been chosen as the host municipality. It is an excellent opportunity to draw awareness to the Town. The seminar will bring delegates to town from across the province and an article featuring the host municipality will be included in the Association’s quarterly news-letter.

On average between 85 and 125 delegates attend the annual fall seminar, depending on the location. Orangeville is close to where the Association’s largest membership base is, therefore it is anticipated that the seminar will be well attended.

The OACA Board makes all of the arrangements for the seminar. Staff involvement from the host municipality is minimal. Staff are asked to provide input with respect to workshop topics and presenters and help out with the registration desk on the evening prior to and the day of the seminar when the delegates arrive.

There will be no financial impact on the Town other than staff time.

Respectfully submitted,

Susan Lankheit, Deputy Clerk
Secretary-Treasurer, Committee of Adjustment
Information Item

Subject: 2015 Outstanding Financing Leases
Department: Treasury
Report #: TF-2016-01
Meeting Date: February 1, 2016

Recommendations

That report TF-2016-01, dated February 1, 2016, 2015 Outstanding Financing Leases, be received.

Background

The Lease Financing Policy, which was adopted by Council in January 2003, governs the administration of financing leases. The policy incorporates all of the requirements of Ontario Regulation 653(5), including the need for an annual report to Council.

A financing lease is defined, by Provincial Regulation, to be a lease for the provision of municipal capital facilities for which the payments extend beyond the term of the current Council. Financing leases do not include those which commence and expire within the current term of Council.

Analysis

Appendix A and B, below, are a detailed summary of all outstanding financing leases for the Town of Orangeville as of December 31, 2015. Annual payments relating to the leases total $96,811 and the future obligations for these leases total $331,086. The future obligations are calculated as of January 1, 2016. The proportion of total financing arrangements to the Town’s total long-term debt is approximately 0.49% which is a decrease of approximately 0.05% from 2014.

In the opinion of the Treasurer, all financing leases were made in accordance with the Lease Financing Policy approved by Council in 2003.
Financial Impact

The annual costs associated with this list were included in the approved 2016 Operating Budget. Therefore, no adverse financial impact is expected.

Vision and Values

The preparation of this report supports the Town’s commitment to spending taxpayer money wisely and responsibly.

Respectfully submitted

Prepared by

Susan Greatrix, Clerk

Patricia Lawler, Financial Analyst

Reviewed and approved by

Karen Mills, Acting Treasurer

Attachments:

1. Appendix A: 2015 Outstanding Financing Leases – Copiers

### Appendix A: 2015 Outstanding Financing Leases - Copiers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Leased Item</th>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Expiry Date</th>
<th>Monthly/Quarterly Payment</th>
<th>Annual Cost</th>
<th>Total Future Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Canon IR C5235A Copier</td>
<td>B&amp;B</td>
<td>Feb 2020</td>
<td>$243</td>
<td>$2,915</td>
<td>$11,905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canon IR C2225 Copier</td>
<td>BIA</td>
<td>Jan 2021</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>1,492</td>
<td>7,548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canon IRC-7270 &amp; Canon IR-400 Copier</td>
<td>Clerk's</td>
<td>Aug 2019</td>
<td>646</td>
<td>7,756</td>
<td>27,793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canon IR C5235 Copier</td>
<td>Fire</td>
<td>Dec 2018</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>3,431</td>
<td>10,006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canon IRC-2225 Copier</td>
<td>IT -Alder Rec</td>
<td>Aug 2019</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>2,048</td>
<td>7,337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canon IR C5030 Copier</td>
<td>Library</td>
<td>July 2018</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>2,983</td>
<td>7,458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canon IR C5235A Copier</td>
<td>Operations</td>
<td>Feb 2020</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>2,915</td>
<td>11,905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canon IR C5045 Copier</td>
<td>P&amp;R - Alder</td>
<td>Mar 2017</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>3,444</td>
<td>4,018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canon IRC-2225 Copier</td>
<td>P&amp;R - Tony Rose</td>
<td>Aug 2019</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>2,183</td>
<td>7,823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canon IR 1023IF</td>
<td>Police</td>
<td>July 2019</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>1,376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canon IR 5050N Copier</td>
<td>Police</td>
<td>Dec 2016</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>3,322</td>
<td>3,045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canon IR C2880I Copier</td>
<td>Police</td>
<td>Mar 2017</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>1,342</td>
<td>1,566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canon IR3035 Copier</td>
<td>Police</td>
<td>Feb 2016</td>
<td>909 (Q)</td>
<td>3,634</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canon IRC-5240 Copier</td>
<td>PW</td>
<td>Aug 2019</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>2,915</td>
<td>10,447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canon IR C2225 Copier</td>
<td>PW - Waste Mgmt.</td>
<td>July 2020</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>2,048</td>
<td>9,214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canon IRC-5240 Copier</td>
<td>Treasury</td>
<td>Aug 2019</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>3,322</td>
<td>11,905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$46,143</strong></td>
<td><strong>$133,346</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix B: 2015 Outstanding Financing Leases – Equipment and Vehicles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Expiry Date</th>
<th>Monthly/Quarterly Payment</th>
<th>Annual Cost</th>
<th>Total Future Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2015 GMC Acadia</td>
<td>Fire</td>
<td>Jan 2020</td>
<td>515</td>
<td>6,185</td>
<td>24,741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mail Machine</td>
<td>Treasury</td>
<td>June 2021</td>
<td>895 (Q)</td>
<td>3,578</td>
<td>19,682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone System</td>
<td>Police</td>
<td>Aug 2020</td>
<td>2,117</td>
<td>25,405</td>
<td>116,441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mail Machine</td>
<td>Police</td>
<td>June 2018</td>
<td>241 (Q)</td>
<td>965</td>
<td>2,413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$50,668</strong></td>
<td><strong>$197,740</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Recommendations

That report TF-2016-02, 2015 Development Charges Statement of Activity, be received.

Background

The Development Charges Act, 1997, sub-section 43 (1) requires that the Treasurer of a municipality shall provide Council an annual “financial statement relating to development charge by-laws and reserve funds.”

Furthermore, sub-section 43 (3) mandates that the Treasurer forward a copy of this statement to the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing within 60 days after the statement is presented to Council.

Analysis

The Statement of Development Charge Reserve Funds located in Appendix A summarizes all transactions which occurred in 2015. The balance of all Development Charge Reserve Funds at the end of 2015 was $7,785,211 of which $2,290,122 has been previously committed to specific capital projects. In 2015 The Town of Orangeville collected over $2.26 million from Developers. The Town received $112,169 in interest income due in part to strategic short term investment of idle Development Charge funds.

Appendix B outlines which projects have received allocations from Development Charges in 2015.
Financial Impact

There is no financial impact related to this report as all expenditures have been previously approved by Council by way of specific reports throughout the year or in the Capital and/or Operating Budgets.

Vision and Values

The Vision and Values statement adopted by Council sets out a direction for the Town by presenting a set of core values that will guide Council and Staff actions presently and into the future. The presentation of this annual statement supports the objective of spending tax payers’ money wisely.

Respectfully submitted

Susan Greatrix, Clerk

Prepared by

Patricia Lawler, Financial Analyst

Reviewed and approved by

Karen Mills, Acting Treasurer

Attachments:
1. Appendix A: Statement of Development Charge Reserve Funds
2. Appendix B: Development Charge Reserve Fund Expenditures
## Appendix A

**Town of Orangeville**  
**Statement of Development Charge Reserve Funds**  
*for the year ended December 31, 2015*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reserve Fund</th>
<th>Opening Balance Jan 1, 2015</th>
<th>Developer Contributions</th>
<th>Interest Earned</th>
<th>Transfer to Capital</th>
<th>Transfer to Operating</th>
<th>Ending Balance Dec 31, 2014</th>
<th>Committed Funds</th>
<th>Available Funds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Town Wide Hard 1</td>
<td>$5,986,536</td>
<td>$1,658,940</td>
<td>$68,191</td>
<td>$3,042,016</td>
<td>$4,671,650</td>
<td>$675,835</td>
<td>$3,995,815</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town Wide Soft 2</td>
<td>$628,862</td>
<td>541,966</td>
<td>21,246</td>
<td>22,005</td>
<td>335,388</td>
<td>834,681</td>
<td>580,212</td>
<td>254,469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area Specific 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Distribution</td>
<td>$586,735</td>
<td>26,799</td>
<td>6,838</td>
<td>(100,000)</td>
<td>720,373</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>620,373</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewage Collection</td>
<td>$47,033</td>
<td>4,141</td>
<td>507</td>
<td></td>
<td>51,681</td>
<td></td>
<td>51,681</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storm Water</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads and</td>
<td>$1,305,166</td>
<td>23,237</td>
<td>13,709</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,342,112</td>
<td>934,075</td>
<td>408,037</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storm Water</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Plans</td>
<td>$156,149</td>
<td>6,887</td>
<td>1,678</td>
<td></td>
<td>164,714</td>
<td></td>
<td>164,714</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$8,710,481</td>
<td>$2,261,969</td>
<td>$112,169</td>
<td>$2,964,021</td>
<td>$335,388</td>
<td>$7,785,211</td>
<td>$2,290,122</td>
<td>$5,495,089</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
1. *Town Wide Hard* includes the following services: wastewater, water, fire, police and roads and related.
2. *Town Wide Soft* includes the following services: transit, parking, parks and recreation, library and administration.
3. *Area Specific DC's* provides for specific roads, water, wastewater and storm water services based on the respective needs and benefiting area.
   Please refer to the 2014 Development Charges Background Study for further details.
# Appendix B

## Town of Orangeville

**Development Charge Reserve Fund Expenditures**

*for the year ended December 31, 2015*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capital Projects</th>
<th>Development Charges</th>
<th>Non-DC Funding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Town Wide Hard</td>
<td>Town Wide Soft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Pollution Control Plant Expansion</td>
<td>$3,142,016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fendley Splash Pad</td>
<td>$19,108</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gator Utility Vehicle</td>
<td>$2,897</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Water Supply (Return of funds to reserve until project begins)</td>
<td>$(100,000)</td>
<td>$22,005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$3,042,016</td>
<td>$22,005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating Projects</th>
<th>Town Wide Hard DC's</th>
<th>Town Wide Soft DC's</th>
<th>Water Distribution</th>
<th>Growth Management Reserve</th>
<th>Tax Levy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Official Plan</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$19,000</td>
<td>$184,821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alder Recreation Centre Debt (2015 loan payments)</td>
<td>$290,388</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- $335,388</td>
<td>$335,388</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$3,042,016</td>
<td>$357,393</td>
<td>$(100,000)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Recommendations

That report ED-2016-05 regarding the Economic Development Committee Annual Report be received.

Background

The EDC exists to make recommendations to Council regarding the promotion of economic growth and stability in the Town of Orangeville. For the majority of 2015, the committee was comprised of Councillor Nick Garisto, three public appointments and one representative from each of the following groups: Orangeville Business Improvement Area, Theatre Orangeville/Orangeville Visitor Information Services, Orangeville & District Real Estate Board, Greater Dufferin Home Builders’ Association, Post-Secondary Institutions, and the Greater Dufferin Area Chamber of Commerce/Dufferin Board of Trade. At Councillor Garisto’s request, in November 2015, the EDC composition was amended to include representation from the manufacturing sector as well, and Mr. Ted Squires agreed to join the committee.

EDC meetings are held at the call of the Chair and in 2015, four meetings were held – April 28, June 23, September 22, and November 24.

Analysis

In addition to receiving activity reports and statistical updates from staff at each meeting, the committee participated in a number of events delivered by the Economic Development office and also received information and provided input to a number of initiatives, including:

**Town of Orangeville Municipal Cultural Plan and Cultural Map** – Regular updates were completed to the municipal cultural map and inventory throughout the year and a number of initiatives outlined within the plan were implemented in 2015. The EDC received regular updates on arts and cultural activities and provided input and suggestions as warranted. By year end, 67 recommendations from the plan had been implemented/were in progress and the Economic Development office had delivered its first information session to promote participation in Culture Days.

**Town of Orangeville Way-finding Plan** – Implementation of the 2014 municipal way-finding plan commenced in 2015 through a partnership between the Town, Central
Counties Tourism Association, Theatre Orangeville, and the Orangeville BIA. With a $25,000 contribution from the Town, a total of $61,000 was invested in signage in 2015, and 8 directional way-finding signs were installed. The EDC received updates on this project and were able to provide input on sign locations and directional sign content.

**Business Retention and Expansion Initiative (BR+E)** – In late 2015, the Economic Development office, under the direction of the EDC, commenced a BR+E project targeted to the manufacturing sector. In addition to receiving regular updates on the project, EDC members served as the BR+E task force and helped to market the initiative to the business sector, contributed to the development of community specific questions, and actively participated in the interviewing component. Upon completion of the project in 2016, a minimum of 35 manufacturing businesses will have participated in the process and the EDC will have collaborated to develop a detailed report and action plan that outlines activities to be undertaken to support Orangeville manufacturers.

**Town of Orangeville Official Plan Update** – EDC members received background information and regular updates as the Town of Orangeville undertook its Official Plan review process. EDC members participated in the public information sessions, surveying, and stakeholder meetings as needed in 2015.

**artsVest 2015/16** – In the spring, the Economic Development office was approved for participation in the artsVest Program with funding support from Business for the Arts and the Trillium Foundation. Four local arts-based organizations qualified for the program and their members received sponsorship training, mentorship and eligibility for matching grants of up to $5,000. By leveraging private partnerships, the program will contribute approximately $40,000 to the local creative economy upon its completion. The EDC received regular updates on this program and committee members participated in the training and mentorship components as well as the launch celebration.

**Starter Company** – The Starter Company Program continued to operate in 2015. This temporary initiative is fully funded by the Province of Ontario through the Ministry of Economic Development, Trade and Employment and during 2015, the program was extended until March 31, 2017. Starter Company provides young entrepreneurs with support, training, mentorship, and grants of up to $5,000 to build their new or existing businesses. EDC members participated in the delivery of the program through the provision of mentorship services to the youth.

**2015 Orangeville EDC Business Breakfast** – Committee members actively participated in the October 20 EDC breakfast, featuring a keynote address by Derek Burleton, Vice President and Deputy Chief Economist for the TD Bank Group. EDC members promoted the event and helped welcomed more than 100 local business leaders who attended the breakfast.

**County Steering Committee** - In November, staff and an EDC representative were invited to participate in a County of Dufferin Economic Development Steering Committee and attended an Economic Development Strategy Workshop with representatives from across the County of Dufferin. A smaller working group was established that includes representation by EDC Chair Pete Renshaw. The working group will complete background work, assess gaps, and identify opportunities and challenges in economic development across the County and then report back to the Steering Committee in March 2016.
Community Demographic/Sectoral Information Updates – In 2015, the Economic Development office completed updates to its digital and print Community Profile, sector specific profiles, and community “Quick Facts” documents. These important Economic Development tools are used to promote the community as a place to do business and provide important demographic information to potential investors. EDC members had the opportunity to provide input to the documents and received copies of them for use in promoting the community.

Visitor Information Centre – The EDC received regular progress reports on the Visitor Information Centre throughout the year, including statistics gathered with respect to inquiries received and specific initiatives undertaken. A community event sign at the Visitor Information Centre is managed by the Economic Development office and helps promote upcoming tourism related events.

Other Tourism Initiatives – In addition to Visitor Information statistics, the EDC received reports and updates on ongoing tourism-related initiatives from Economic Development staff, including participation in the Headwaters Tourism culinary tourism sub-committee, and work undertaken to deliver a major culinary event in 2015 in partnership with Georgian College. The EDC committee also reviewed updates completed to the sports tourism brochure entitled “10 Things to Do in Orangeville”. In 2015, more than 6,000 copies of this brochure were distributed to visiting sports teams and guests. As well, a number of joint marketing and advertising initiatives were undertaken in partnership with the BIA, Theatre Orangeville, and the Blues and Jazz Festival.

Committee Member Updates – The EDC provided a forum for its representatives to provide updates on sector-specific issues and activities occurring within their areas of specialization.

In addition to the above EDC initiatives, the committee contributed to:

Economic Development/Small Business Enterprise Centre (SBEC) Activities – The EDC reviewed staff activity reports, office statistics and budget. The EDC meetings provided an opportunity for members to ask questions and provide input into Economic Development and SBEC activities.

Orangeville Business Connections Newsletter – Committee members received four issues of the Orangeville Business Connections Newsletter produced in 2015 highlighting local economic development news, upcoming workshops and events, local business profiles, and real estate listings.

SBEC Initiatives – The committee contributed advisory services to the SBEC program, receiving updates on various initiatives, including workshops and seminars, the Summer Company program, partnership funding arrangements, and the annual Bridges to Better Business event – Business Growth through Innovation. The 2015 event featured a keynote address by Rick Spence, business journalist with the National Post, and a panel discussion with local innovative business leaders Brad Sparkman (Innovative Finishing Solutions), Jake Taminga (Jaylor Fabricating Inc.), and Colin Forrester (Edenwood Furniture Designs). Members helped to promote the various SBEC initiatives and events and in 2015, 23 events and workshops were held for more than 773 participants. As well, a funding partnership was formed with the GDACC/Board of
Trade to provide funding support to the SBEC and for increased collaboration on events.

**Promotion of Town-owned Industrial Lands** – EDC members received updates on the ongoing promotional efforts for the Town–owned industrial lands, including participation in the Society of Industrial and Office Realtors Fall Conference, advertisements in the Orangeville Banner Business Review, the Mississauga Business Times, the Orangeville Business Connections newsletter, and Expansions Solutions and Perspectives magazines.

**Website and Social Media Outreach** – EDC members had the opportunity to make suggestions for updates and communications to the department’s web services. In 2015, the tourism website attracted 191,379 page views and the business website attracted 96,982 page views.

**Proclamations** – Proclamations for Engineering Month (March), Tourism Week (May 31-June 6), and Small Business Month (October) were made.

**Presentations** – Presentations were made to the EDC by Ruth Phillips to provide an overview of the Economic Development office and its functions, by Nancy Tuckett, to provide an introduction and subsequent update to the Official Plan review process, and
by Mr. Gerry Horst, Agriculture and Rural Economic Development Advisor, Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs, regarding the BR+E Program.

Financial Impact

None.

Vision and Values

Encouraging Business Growth and Encouraging Community Involvement

The activities and undertakings of the Economic Development Committee encourage community involvement, support business development and growth, and support the development of arts and culture.

Respectfully submitted, Prepared by,

Pete Renshaw Councillor Nick Garisto
Chair, Vice Chair,
Economic Development Committee Economic Development Committee

Prepared by,

Ruth Phillips
Economic Development Manager

Attachments:
1. None
Information Item

Subject: Tony Rose Memorial Sports Centre Pool Change Rooms

Department: Parks and Recreation
Report #: PR-2016-03
Meeting Date: February 1, 2016

Recommendations

For the information of Council.

Background

Presently, there is not a family change room at the Tony Rose Memorial Sports Centre pool. In 2014 Council approved $10,000.00 for Architectural drawings to be completed for the change room facilities.

Staff contacted the following Architectural firms to provide the cost to complete the drawings and potential cost associated with the design. Ian Starkey Architect & Co., Joel Wells Architect Inc., and Dickinson and Hicks Architects Inc. These firms were asked to provide the following three options:

1. Convert the existing 2 change rooms into 1 large family change room with changing stalls.
2. Convert the 2 existing change rooms into 3 smaller change rooms.
3. Construct an addition to the west side of the pool which would add new change rooms, office space, space to grow recreation programs and re-locate the pool filter room.

Ian Starkey Architects & Co. was awarded the contract in April 2015.
Analysis

The three concepts were reviewed by staff and it was determined that option 2 would not work due to the reduction in size of the change rooms. Ian Starkey Architects & Co. proceeded with option 1 and option 3 providing the concept drawings and preliminary costing to complete the projects.

Financial Impact

The estimated cost for option 1 is $336,640.00

The estimated cost for option 3 is $1,984,165.00

Vision and Values

Exceptional recreational and leisure opportunities while providing a safe and secure environment.

Respectfully submitted

Ed Brennan
Interim CAO/Director, Parks and Recreation

Prepared by

Charles Cosgrove
Manager of Parks and Facilities

Attachments:
1. Concept Drawings - PR-2016-03 Tony Rose MSC Concept Design DWG
OTTAWA
January 14, 2015

Mayor Jeremy Williams and Members of Council
Town of Orangeville
87 Broadway
Orangeville ON L9W 1K1

Dear Mayor Williams,

As we approach the Liberal government’s first budget in the coming couple of months, I am writing to you to extend an invitation to either meet with me or provide a written submission as I conduct my own pre-budget consultations in Dufferin-Caledon.

It is no secret that the Liberal government has promised to take us into deficit with spending promises made during the recent election campaign on a wide range of issues, particularly infrastructure and taxation. It is my responsibility as the Member of Parliament for Dufferin-Caledon to ensure that our riding is not overlooked as the Liberals shape their spending priorities.

If you would like to meet with me to share your input for the upcoming federal budget, I would ask that you contact either of my constituency offices by January 29, 2016 to arrange a time for a meeting. You may also wish to prepare a written submission, which I would ask that you send to me by February 1, 2016.

Once I have your input, I will prepare my own summary from everyone I have heard from and, along with any written submissions, send this important information to the Finance Minister. I want to ensure that the residents, municipalities, civic groups and businesses of Dufferin-Caledon have their proper say on his budget preparations and you are critical to that effort.

I look forward to hearing from you in the near future.

Sincerely,

David Tilson, Q.C., M.P.
Dufferin-Caledon
January 11, 2016

Happy New Year! Greetings from Allan Thompson, Mayor, Town of Caledon, your ROMA Zone 4 Representative.

As the Zone Representative for your municipality on the ROMA Board, I make sure the issues, innovations, and challenges in communities in our Zone are raised and reflected in ROMA discussions, decisions, and initiatives.

The Rural Ontario Municipal Association (ROMA) is the rural arm of the Association of Municipalities of Ontario (AMO). ROMA is an integral part of AMO. With several members of ROMA’s Executive Committee as members of AMO’s Board of Directors, the rural perspective is embedded in AMO’s policy, research and advocacy activities, including AMO’s regular meetings with the provincial government as part of their Memorandum of Understanding.

ROMA’s Executive undertakes a range of activities on behalf of, and in consultation with, its membership. This year, ROMA undertook a process of refreshing the Rural and Northern Lens, which allows policy makers to ensure new policies reflect rural and northern experiences. ROMA ensured a rural perspective was well reflected in AMO’s policy advocacy work including the Aggregate Resources Act review, the Long-Term Affordable Housing Strategy update and in AMO’s What’s Next Ontario strategic work on the future economic sustainability of municipalities.

I would like to invite you to attend this year’s ROMA/OGRA Combined Conference, taking place on Sunday February 21st to Wednesday February 24th at the Fairmount Royal York, in Toronto. It is an opportunity to engage with other ROMA members and learn about issues that matter for rural Ontario. More details are attached to this letter; I hope you will review them and consider joining me and your ROMA colleagues in Toronto.

Rural municipal governments have an important role to play in 2016. The challenges and the complexity they face have never been greater. Whether it is protecting our natural heritage, responding to climate change, renewing democratic institutions or re-imagining how programs and services are provided, the expectation is that elected municipal officials will be leading the response. I look forward to working with you to tackle these challenges facing us all and work towards a strong future for rural Ontario in 2016 and beyond.

Sincerely,

Allan Thompson
Mayor, Town of Caledon
Join us at the 2016 ROMA/OGRA Combined Conference:
The Value Proposition

Rural municipalities have an important role to play in 2016. The majority of Ontario's municipalities are rural, and the challenges they face have never been greater. Whether it is protecting our natural heritage, responding to climate change, renewing democratic institutions or re-imagining how programs and services are provided, the expectation is that municipalities will be leading the response.

The 2016 ROMA/OGRA Combined Conference offers an outstanding roster of keynote speakers - each of them leaders in their field - and a wide range of workshops that will examine these issues, work together, and equip rural municipal governments to work towards a strong future. This is your opportunity to meet and learn from political leaders from all orders of government.

Join us on Sunday February 21st to Wednesday February 24th at the Fairmount Royal York, in Toronto. For more details and to register, visit combinedconference.org.

Workshops
- Asset Management
- Building Better Roads
- Protecting Our Environment
- Economic Development
- Renewing Local Democracy
- Road Safety and Liability
...and many more

ROCC Star Talks
- Leen Al-Zaibek, Founder, Lifeline Syria
- Kyle Hill, Founder, Teach For Canada
- Lisa McLaughlin, Chief Conservation Officer, Nature Conservancy of Canada
- Karen Restoule, Justice Coordinator, Chiefs of Ontario
- Tyler Valiquette, Founder, Vote Savvy

Keynotes
- Frank Graves, President, EKOS Research Associates
- Premier Kathleen Wynne (invited)
- Mike Moffat, Chief Economist, Mowat Centre
- Minister Marc Garneau, Transport Canada (invited)
- Patrick Brown, Leader of Ontario PCs (invited)
- Andrea Horwath, Leader of Ontario NDP (invited)
- The Ministers Forum

And
- Small town forum
- Student forum
- Technical road building session
- Long service awards
Ontario Municipal Board
Commission des affaires municipales
de l’Ontario

ISSUE DATE: January 12, 2016
CASE NO(S.): PL140715
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BACKGROUND

[1] Antony Ajayi (the “Applicant”) applied for an official plan amendment and zoning by-law amendment for his property at 45 Church Street (the “subject property”) to allow for a converted dwelling containing four dwelling units. The current official plan designation and zoning permits two unit dwellings, however a minor variance approved in 1992 permitted a third dwelling unit, and at some point a fourth unit was introduced. As the current official plan and zoning by-law does not allow for a converted dwelling containing four units, the Applicant is seeking the amendments to allow the current use to continue.

[2] The official plan amendment is to redesignate the land from “Low Density Residential” to “Medium Density Residential”. The zoning by-law amendment is to amend the Zoning By-law 22-90 and rezone the lands from “Residential Second Density (R2)” Zone to “Multiple Residential Medium Density (RM1)” Zone. Special provisions are requested to permit two driveways, to allow for obstructed parking, and to reduce the minimum required interior side and rear yard setbacks.

[3] The Town of Orangeville (the “Town”) Council denied the application despite the support from town planning staff. The Applicant has appealed the decision of Council.

[4] At the previous prehearing conference for this matter, held on February 18, 2015,
Willam Theeuwen, Jason Bourne, Shelagh Roberts, and Pam Beatson were granted participant status. At the hearing Ms. Roberts provided evidence in opposition to the proposal.

[5] Ted Davidson was retained by the Applicant to provide expert land use planning opinion evidence. Kevin Bechard, a Senior Associate Planner with Weston Consulting, provided land use planning opinion evidence for the Town in support of Council's decision to deny the application.

**Subject Property**

[6] The subject property is about 20.14 metres ("m") wide, has a depth of about 34.29 m, and an area of 690 square metres ("m$^2$). On the lot is a two storey brick building constructed in the mid to late 1950s. It appears to have been constructed as a duplex or triplex, according to Mr. Davidson. There are three units in the house, a two bedroom unit on the main floor, a three bedroom unit on the second floor (or vice versa) and a two bedroom unit in the basement of about 67 m$^2$. Both the main and second floor units are about 86 m$^2$ gross floor area ("GFA"). There is a one-storey concrete block building at the side and rear of the house that is connected to the house by a carport. This building is used as a one bedroom dwelling unit and is about 64 m$^2$ GFA. It was previously used as a photo studio. For the purposes of this decision it will be referred to as the "studio apartment".

[7] Access to the main floor and basement apartment is from a side entrance beneath the carport. Access to the upper apartment is from the front door and the studio apartment is accessed directly at grade through the carport.

[8] There are two driveways. The northeast driveway is a double drive that leads to the carport and thence the studio apartment. There is room for four cars parked on the northeast driveway and carport, and two cars in single file on the southwest gravel driveway. The evidence shows that two driveways have existed since at least 2004 on the basis of a historical Google air photograph (Exhibit 6).
[9] Mr. Davidson described this property as a well maintained building providing rental accommodation near the commercial core with tenants who are quiet and responsible. Recent inspections by fire and hydro indicate no outstanding issues.

**Surrounding Area**

[10] The subject property is located on the south side of Church Street, between Bythia Street and William Street, about a ten minute walk from the downtown area on Broadway Avenue. There is a bus stop at the corner of Bythia Street and Church Street, and GO Service is about three to four blocks away.

[11] The neighbourhood consists of a mix of old and new homes, and a variety of housing forms. Numerous photographs of the subject property and the interior of the units, as well as the surrounding neighbourhood, are provided in Exhibit 2. The area is a mix of row houses, semi-detached homes that may have basement apartments, older houses that may be converted, apartment buildings, and single family homes. Other buildings in the area and on Church Street provide rental housing as well.

[12] The adjacent home to the west at 49 Church Street has a very similar appearance to the subject property, with the exception that it has a slightly smaller detached garage in the same relative location as the studio apartment on the subject property. There is no carport at 49 Church Street. The evidence at the hearing was that there are three mailboxes at this home and that it is a converted dwelling.

[13] The adjacent home to the east at 41 Church Street has a widened driveway for additional parking, adjacent to the northeast driveway of the subject property. On the opposite side of the street at 54A and 54B Church Street there are two semi-detached homes with a driveway on either side. At 52 Church Street is an older home with two driveways, one on the north and one on the south side. Mr. Bechard described 56 Church Street as a building with multiple residences, and it is zoned R3. At 44 Church Street is a four unit row house oriented lengthwise with the appearance of a motel, and it is zoned RM1. At 16 William Street is a two storey apartment building, and another at
56 Bythia Street. At 43 Bythia Street is a six storey apartment complex, and at 45 Bythia Street is a single storey row house of about three units, zoned RM1.

Residents' Concerns

[14] At the statutory public meeting of May 12, 2014, numerous concerns of the local residents were provided either by deputation or by letter. These concerns relate to the jockeying of vehicles due to obstructed parking, the presence of two driveways, the over intensification resulting from four units on the one property, the precedence that approval may set, particularly for the adjacent property at 49 Church Street, and the after-the-fact approval of an illegal condition. Similar concerns were raised at the Council meeting of June 23, 2014, where the decision of Council was made to refuse the application.

[15] The tenants of the subject property also made submissions at the public meeting and indicated that they were pleased and grateful for the affordable rental accommodation that they have, and indicated that up until this point there had been no complaints from neighbours regarding the tenancy of the property, or the parking situation. The tenants indicated that the property is well maintained, and should someone be forced out, that would lead to hardship.

[16] At this hearing Ms. Roberts testified that she and many of the neighbours are opposed to the application, due to the planning process undertaken and public safety. She said that this situation was “a secret” and only came to light when there was a sewer backup on the property. She is concerned that to legalize the existing condition will set a precedent throughout the Town. She expressed a concern about “papering over a lapse in the Town’s process – it is illegal and approving it allows additional units into play”.

[17] She stated that Church Street is used as an east-west bypass and is concerned that if there is more traffic on the street it could be a hazard to school children.
Ms. Roberts said the area has already transitioned into a mix of housing types and a change of zoning could be applied to heritage sections of the Town that have large lots and old homes. She is concerned that this approval could lead to the potential conversion of numerous homes.

The tenant of the studio apartment, Susan Little, provided evidence at the hearing for the Applicant. She indicated that she is over 60 years old, and has lived in the studio apartment for five and a half years and in the Town all her life. She recalled that in her teenage years, the studio apartment was a photographic studio. She indicated that she earns $11 per hour and is able to afford the rent of this apartment. She said that when she moved in, there were two driveways at the property.

Prior Minor Variance Application

Mr. Bechard testified in regards to the 1992 minor variance application and decision by the Committee of Adjustment that permitted three residential units at the property. Under the ‘Purpose’ of the application it states: “Minor variance to extend a legal non-conforming duplex to permit an additional residential unit”. The ‘Conditions’ for the approval are:

1. Should any upgrading or replacement of services be required, they will be the responsibility of the owner.

2. All commercial usage of the property (including existing signage) shall cease to exist within three (3) months of this approval.

The ‘Reasons for Decision’ state: “The Committee felt that the extension of the legal non-conforming use to permit an additional dwelling unit within an existing duplex is a ‘more compatible use’ given the residential character of the neighbourhood then was the photo studio.”

Mr. Bechard’s position is that the reading of this decision at face value is that the
variance does not apply to the studio. He said that if it were for habitation of the studio, then under the 'Purpose' of the application, relief for the prohibition of an accessory building should have been given, and relief for the rear and side yard setbacks of the studio apartment should also have been given. He believes that the relief provided by the minor variance approval was for the existing duplex.

[23] By contrast, the Town planning staff position is that the 1992 minor variance application applies to the accessory building and the application was to convert the photo studio into a residential dwelling. Mr. Davidson agrees with the Town staff position. His interpretation is that the statements in the decision are meant to indicate that the "photo studio" is more compatible as a dwelling unit. The Board agrees with the interpretation of Mr. Davidson that this commercial operation of a photographic studio ceased and subsequently the unit was converted to a dwelling unit.

[24] Additionally, there is confusion about when the fourth dwelling unit was established. Exhibit 7, a memo from the Town dated March 2, 2009, indicates that the fourth unit was in place prior to 2001.

**Housing Study**

[25] Mr. Davidson referenced the Orangeville Housing Needs Analysis Study, January 2010 Report (the "Housing Study") prepared by SHJE Consulting (Exhibit 1 Tab 5). This report was endorsed by Town Council and indicates that there is deterioration in the supply of rental units in Orangeville, due to the trend of conversions to condominium units. Mr. Davidson said the current proposal assists by providing for additional rental housing.

[26] However, Mr. Bechard states that the addition or reduction of one rental housing unit will not have any appreciable effect on the supply of rental accommodation in the Town, and the need for additional rental units will likely continue. He said Council's decision with respect to this application does not have a significant impact on the supply of rental housing.
Planning Merits – Appellant’s Position

[27] Mr. Davidson states that he concurs with the findings of the Town’s planning report that recommended approval of the application, as provided in Exhibit 1. He said that this proposal is consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement (“PPS”). It provides the addition of one unit to a building that already has three units, and it provides affordable rental housing in a location close to transit. Similarly, the proposal conforms to the Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe (“Growth Plan”), as it provides for intensification. He said that the Growth Plan encourages intensification in all the built up area, not just the areas targeted for intensification.

[28] With respect to the County of Dufferin (the “County”) official plan, he testified that this meets s. 1.1.5 Goals; by: b) directing population growth to settlement areas and making efficient use of existing and planned infrastructure; and k) encouraging the provision of a range of housing opportunities of varying densities and tenures, including the construction of affordable housing.

[29] Similarly, the proposal meets the County’s growth management objectives under s. 3.1 c), d) and e) as follows:

  c) Promote a settlement structure which directs the majority of urban development on full municipal services to the County’s three urban settlement areas which include the: Town of Orangeville, Town of Shelburne and Town of Grand Valley urban area, and to a lesser extent to the community settlement areas, which are to accommodate additional growth.

  d) Promote development patterns in settlement areas that efficiently use land, resources, infrastructure, and public service facilities, through compact urban forms, a mix of land uses and appropriate densities.

  e) Encourage opportunities for redevelopment, revitalization and
intensification in appropriate locations and of a scale and character of development that is compatible with the community.

[30] Mr. Davidson said this proposal provides for intensification in a building that has existed for many years and is compatible with the area.

[31] He pointed to the County policy under s. 3.4.2 that indicates that Orangeville is intended to provide a significant amount of new development in the County. This policy states that local official plans are to identify appropriate locations for intensification and provides a number of criteria to consider in the evaluation for applications for intensification. Mr. Davidson also referred to policies under s. 3.7.3 e) and f) that encourage the provision of housing for aging-in-place seniors, and a diverse range of housing to encourage age-friendly communities.

[32] Mr. Davidson's opinion is that the proposal conforms to the County official plan as it represents an appropriate level of intensification, it is compatible with the community, and provides a diverse range of housing near public services. In fact, the studio apartment is currently being rented to a low income senior.

[33] Mr. Davidson went through the Town’s official plan to support the Applicant's position that the proposal conforms, as it provides rental accommodation to lower income households. He referenced s. B2.2 Housing, that states:

To provide an adequate supply of good quality living accommodations with a full range of sizes, types, densities, architectural forms, ownership, rental option, and prices in order to ensure that all members of the community are able to find suitable and affordable accommodation regardless of their age, household composition or income.

[34] The Town has policies under s. D2. Growth Management, to ensure that Orangeville is a “complete community” as defined by the province. This proposal meets those policies, under s. D9.2.4 a) and e) as the new growth is directed to the built up area and a mix of land use and housing types is provided.
He stated that the proposal meets the goals and objectives under s. E Land Use Policies of the official plan. The Goals under s. E1.1 are:

To provide an adequate supply of good quality living accommodations with a full range of sizes, types, densities, architectural forms, ownership, rental options, and prices in order to ensure that all members of the community are able to find suitable and affordable accommodation regardless of their age, household composition or income.

Mr. Davidson described the objectives that support that goal, in particular s. E1.2.4 "Council supports the provision of a broad range of housing types and forms suitable to both owners and renters of varying household size and income level", and s. E1.2.5 "Council supports the intensification of previously developed areas where appropriate in order to maximize the use of the Town's limited land and infrastructure resources."

Section E1.4.4 states that a proposal to redesignate lands to Medium Density Residential may be considered relative to the following general location criteria:

a) Proximity to open space and public facilities;

b) Proximity to commercial facilities;

c) Convenient access to collector or arterial roads; and

d) Inclusion of the lands in a designated intensification area.

Mr. Davidson testified that Bythia Street is an arterial road. He also stated that the lands are not within an intensification area, however this does not mean that intensification cannot occur in all areas of the Town, and as directed by the Growth Plan.

Section E1.5.1 provides that residential conversions shall be considered within older residential areas in proximity to the Downtown, subject to zoning provisions and compatibility. He testified that the proposal does not detrimentally affect the
surrounding area.

[40] Section E1.11 sets out policies and the criteria for “Intensification and Change”. Mr. Davidson states that the proposal meets these requirements, as what is being asked for is a legalization and conversion of an existing building. The conversion of the four unit dwelling is compatible with the adjacent area that is a mix of uses. There will be no change to the existing urban design or scale and no shadowing impacts that arise.

[41] Mr. Davidson testified that the proposal conforms to the Town’s official plan policies. It meets the affordability objectives and provides for greater choice in housing accommodation.

Planning Merits – Town’s Position

[42] Mr. Bechard stated that the PPS definition of “intensification” is what he used to guide his planning interpretation:

Intensification: means the development of a property, site or area at a higher density than currently exists through:

  a) redevelopment, including the reuse of brownfield sites;
  b) the development of vacant and/or underutilized lots within previously developed areas;
  c) infill development; and
  d) The expansion or conversion of existing buildings.

[43] He further goes on to reference s. 1.1.3.3 of the PPS:

Planning authorities shall identify appropriate locations and promote opportunities for intensification and redevelopment where this can be accommodated taking into account existing building stock or areas, including brownfield sites, and the availability of suitable existing or planned infrastructure and public service facilities required to accommodate projected needs.

Intensification and redevelopment shall be directed in accordance with the policies of Section 2: Wise Use and Management of Resources and Section 3: Protecting Public Health and Safety.
Section 1.1.3.4 states: “Appropriate development standards should be promoted which facilitate intensification, redevelopment and compact form, while avoiding or mitigating risks to public health and safety.”

His opinion is that the Town has specific policies and schedules in the official plan to guide intensification and Zoning By-law 22-90 has prescribed standards to meet the intensification policies.

In regards to the Growth Plan, Mr. Bechard referenced s. 2.2.2 Managing Growth and s. 2.2.3 General Intensification that outline the strategies and policies for this topic.

In order to assess the proposal, Mr. Bechard looked to the Land Use Policies in s. E of the Town’s official plan. Section E1.2.5 states: “Council supports the intensification of previously developed areas where appropriate in order to maximize the use of the Town’s limited land and infrastructure resources.”

Further, s. E1.11.2 states the criteria for the selection of intensification areas may include: a) Vacant and/or underutilized lots within previously developed areas; b) the potential on a property for infill development; or, c) the potential on a property for the expansion or conversion of existing buildings. Should the identification of new intensification areas be proposed, Council may evaluate the respective merits of each area in light of these or other site-specific criteria, as appropriate.

His opinion is that these policies provide the strategic approach to define the areas for intensification. He then took the Board to Schedule B1 of the official plan that outlines the areas that have been identified by Council for intensification. He said these specific sites have been identified to the exclusion of others for intensification by the Town through official plan designation. In the vicinity of the subject property, the two locations identified for intensification are 27 Margaret Street, which is currently vacant; and 76 John Street, which currently is occupied by a greenhouse and parking area for a flower shop. He said that the subject property is not identified as an intensification area in the official plan. Mr. Bechard contends that intensification is limited to “appropriate”
locations, as directed by the PPS and provided for in the Town's official plan. He said
the Town has specifically turned its mind to intensification areas, and has identified two
in the neighbourhood.

[50] Mr. Bechard said the evidence provided by Official Plan Amendment 84 shows a
clear and deliberate intention by the Town to lower the density in this area and to
preserve this area from overdevelopment and overuse. The building is already
intensified by the minor variance that allows three units, but a fourth unit is over-
intensification.

[51] Mr. Bechard also noted that s. E1.11.4 states that when considering
intensification developments, Council shall take into account a) compatibility with
adjacent buildings and adjacent residential areas; b) shadowing and access to
sunlight... c) urban design impacts and alternative design options, including scale and
the relationship to adjacent street widths; and d) energy use and green building
performance. In regards to compatibility, he looks to s. D7.2.4 that states “Infill
development will respect and reinforce the general physical patterns and character of
established neighbourhoods, with particular regard to: a) patterns of streets, blocks and
lanes, parks and public building sites; b) general size and configuration of lots; c)
heights, massing, scale and type of dwelling unit compatible with that permitted by the
Zoning By-law for nearby residential properties; ...”. He states that the scale and
building type is bringing in change, because the garage alters the scale and results in a
more intense scale. The spot redesignation of the property sets a precedent and
encourages other properties to develop. He states that the prevailing building typology
is not consistent with the types of buildings in the area as identified in his photographic
survey in Exhibit 12.

Density and Planned Function

[52] The subject property is designated Residential in the Town official plan Schedule
A and on Schedule C it is Low Density Residential with a maximum of 25 units per net
residential hectare ("uph"). The density calculated for the proposal is 58 uph.
Mr. Bechard testified that the requested Medium Density Residential designation that allows a maximum density of 99 uph is a significant departure from the existing Low Density Residential designation that permits 25 uph. He said the requested density is greater than two times what is permitted in the Low Density designation.

Mr. Bechard said that s. E1.4.4 provides locational criteria for Medium Density Residential that include; proximity to open space and public facilities; proximity to commercial facilities; convenient access to collector or arterial roads; and inclusion of the lands in a designated intensification area. He said that the Town did not consider these locational criteria in its assessment of the Medium Density designation. He said that the distance to open space and public facilities is 165 m, and to John Street, an arterial road, is 148 m, however, the distance to downtown commercial area is 540 m; whereas he said 500 m is a more appropriate distance to be from downtown.

Mr. Davidson stated that the Town has policies for specific areas under s. E.8. A special provision could be provided to allow the density at this site to be "at a density not to exceed 58 uph". The property could be designated either medium density or low density with a site specific exception.

Mr. Bechard said that the planned function for this area is for low density single detached and semi-detached homes as is provided for in the new Schedule C to the official plan. He testified that prior to OPA 84 adoption in February 2002, these lands were designated as Low Density Multiple in Schedule B. The new schedule that identifies these lands a Low Density Residential is based on Council's consideration of these lands and reaffirming the low density of the area, and a deliberate and focussed strategy for the intensification of specific lands, in this case for 27 Margaret Street and 76 John Street. The proposed amendment that provides for a Medium Density Residential designation on a site specific basis causes problems with the planned function for the area, as Medium Density is not compatible with the low density character of the area and is not in keeping with the strategic approach of Council to identify specific sites for intensification.
Mr. Bechard testified that the s. E.1.5.2 provides that “Second units will be permitted as-of-right in all detached and semi-detached dwellings, subject to the provisions of the Zoning By-law”. He says that this provincial directive as provided in the official plan provides an important method of providing intensification in a low density neighbourhood without changing the character of the area. He said Council would have considered this in their decision.

Use

With respect to the zoning by-law, the current R2 provision does not allow converted houses. The application is seeking a Multiple Residential Medium Zone (RM1) zone, as recommended by the Planning Commissioner. The RM1 allows four units; however relief is also required for the interior and side yard setbacks, as the studio apartment encroaches into the required side yards.

The RM1 uses permitted are either “converted dwelling house” or “multiple dwelling”. Mr. Bechard said the property has more than one freestanding building and no common entrance therefore is does not fit the definition of “dwelling, multiple”. He said the garage is a free standing structure and therefore does not comply with the definition of a free standing structure containing four units and cannot be called a converted house.

By contrast, Mr. Davidson said a building is the sum of its parts, in this case, a carport, a “studio” and a house. When buildings become attached through a breezeway or a screened in porch, it becomes one building. The operative definition is provided in the zoning by-law is: “Building means any structure or part of a structure other than a fence, sign, trailer, mobile home or vehicle,” and, “Structure” is defined as “anything constructed or erected, the use of which require location on the ground, or attached to something having location on the ground.”

The amendment requested is to convert a converted dwelling house. Mr. Davidson said the setback requirements should have been considered when the original
building permit would have been issued for the photo studio. He noted that the studio was previously a commercial operation; it was not accessory to the main use.

### Regard for the Decision of Council

[62] Mr. Davidson testified that he reviewed the video of the Council meeting and the minutes of the meeting and considered the concerns of the public in his planning analysis. He testified that the planning concerns that were brought forward were dealt with in the planning report. He testified that it seemed that no one wanted to condone the ongoing illegal use of the property; however, there is no planning rationale for the denial of the application.

[63] The Town submits that Council did consider the staff report and carefully weighed it along with the residents' concerns in their determination that the application should be refused, and that deference should be given to Council’s decision.

### Analysis and Findings

[64] The Board has carefully reviewed the evidence and submissions provided at the hearing. The residents are very concerned that the single family character of the neighbourhood is being eroded by an increase in intensification in the neighbourhood, and see approval of this request as setting a precedent for further conversions. They are concerned that approval somehow condones the prior illegal use. There is also the question of whether the converted dwelling with four units is compatible with the surrounding neighbourhood.

[65] The Board does not consider that approval of four units at this location will set a precedent for additional conversions. The Board is satisfied that this is a unique situation on the basis that the studio apartment was a commercial unit that became a dwelling unit in 1992 by way of minor variance permission. The Board accepts Mr. Davidson's opinion that this building is the sum of its parts, and can be considered one building that comprises the studio apartment, the home and the carport that connects
the two. The Board is not persuaded that this is not a "converted dwelling".

[66] The review of the evidence indicates that though the neighbourhood is comprised predominately of single family homes, either detached or semi-detached, there are a number of other building types that speak to the mix of the neighbourhood. This is an older, mixed neighbourhood, with an eclectic mix of older homes and newer homes, of different sizes. There are also some higher density uses. There is no indication that these higher density uses destabilize the neighbourhood.

[67] The Board is satisfied that this existing converted building that contains four dwelling units is compatible with the neighbourhood. The land use is not inconsistent with the surrounding area that consists of semi-detached homes with two driveways, or single detached homes with two driveways. There are also other nearby properties with RM1 designation. This converted dwelling fits into the neighbourhood with no undue adverse impact. The building accommodates the three units, one on the main, the second and the basement, and the fourth unit is the studio apartment. There was ample evidence that the studio apartment was originally a commercial photographic studio, but has been a dwelling unit since 1992. Though it is an unusual situation to have a space such as this be a dwelling unit there has been no historical concern that this is not compatible. The Board finds that the provision of an additional rental unit that is affordable and accessible at ground level assists in alleviating the identified shortage of rental units. There have been no complaints and no incidents over the last many years.

[68] The Board finds it is appropriate to provide relief for the rear and side yard setbacks as requested. It appears that the variance application of 1992 did not appropriately request or provide this relief at the time, whereas it should have.

[69] The concerns that the residents have are not founded in sound planning issues. This will not set a precedent, as it is an unusual condition to have the studio apartment, as described. The approval of the proposal legalizes the existing condition, but there are no implications regarding the prior illegal condition. The approval of the proposal
does not condone the previous illegality.

[70] The Board is not persuaded that the identification of “intensification areas” such as 27 Margaret Street and 76 John Street means that intensification on a site specific basis cannot occur at other locations in the Town. To suggest intensification can only occur at the identified areas is not supportive of provincial policy or of the official plan policies that address change and intensification. The Board is not of the view that intensification should not occur at 45 Church Street because there are two other areas in the neighbourhood that have been identified for intensification. There was no evidence that there are any plans in the near future for either of these locations for new development. It simply does not follow that intensification can only occur at identified sites, with the exception of the second units that are permitted as of right due to provincial directive.

[71] The Board prefers the evidence of Mr. Davidson and finds that the proposal for a converted house with four dwelling units at this location is consistent with the PPS and conforms to the Growth Plan. This proposal provides for intensification, as directed by the PPS and the Growth Plan, to a location close to transit in an efficient manner. The proposal meets the goals in the County official plan as it promotes development in settlement areas, and provides a mix of land use in an appropriate density in an efficient manner. The proposal provides good quality living accommodation and a diverse mix of housing and land use types. It meets the land use objectives and policies in the Town’s official plan. The Board is satisfied that the policies and goals of the official plan are met by this proposal.

[72] The Board has had regard to the decision of Council when coming to these findings.

DECISION AND INTERIM ORDER

[73] The appeal is allowed. The Board orders that the Applicant and the Town come to an agreement on the language of an official plan amendment and zoning by-law
CORPORATION OF THE COUNTY OF DUFFERIN

BY-LAW 2016-03

A BY-LAW TO ESTABLISH PROPERTY TAX RATES FOR UPPER-TIER (COUNTY) PURPOSES FOR THE YEAR 2016

WHEREAS Section 311 of the Municipal Act, 2001 requires an Upper-Tier Municipality, annually, to pass a by-law directing each lower-tier municipality to levy a separate tax rate, as specified in the by-law, on the assessment in each property class in the lower-tier municipality rateable for upper-tier purposes;

AND WHEREAS By-law 2015-40 of the Corporation of the County of Dufferin, dated December 10, 2015, adopted the annual County Budget, including estimates of all sums required during the year 2016 by the County of Dufferin, pursuant to section 289 of the Municipal Act, 2001;

AND WHEREAS the general upper-tier levy was determined from the estimates adopted in By-law 2015-40 to be $34,488,600;

AND WHEREAS all property assessment rolls on which the 2016 taxes are to be levied have been returned and revised pursuant to the provisions of the Assessment Act, 1990 chapter A.31 as amended (hereinafter referred to as the “Assessment Act”) subject to appeals at present before the Assessment Review Board, the Ontario Municipal Board and the District Court;

AND WHEREAS the "Residential Assessment", "Multi-Residential Assessment", "Commercial Assessment", "Office Building Assessment", "Shopping Centre Assessment", "Parking Lot Assessment", "Industrial Assessment", "Large Industrial Assessment", "Pipeline Assessment", "Farmlands Assessment", "Managed Forest Assessment", "New Construction Assessment", and their applicable subclasses pursuant to the Assessment Act, as amended, have been determined on the basis of the aforementioned property assessment rolls and are detailed on Schedule "A" attached hereto, and which forms part hereof;

AND WHEREAS the Tax Ratios and the Tax Rate Reductions for prescribed property subclasses have been set out in By-law 2016-02 of the Corporation of the County of Dufferin dated January 14, 2016;

"Managed Forest Assessment", "New Construction Assessment", and their applicable subclasses have been calculated pursuant to the provisions of the Municipal Act, 2001;

NOW THEREFORE THE COUNCIL OF THE CORPORATION OF THE COUNTY OF DUFFERIN ENACTS AS FOLLOWS:

1. DEFINITIONS:

In this By-law:

a) "lower-tier municipalities" mean those municipalities that are member municipalities which form part of the County of Dufferin;

b) "Property classes" are as prescribed under the Assessment Act and include the residential property class, the multi-residential property class, the commercial property class and its subclasses, the industrial property class and its subclasses, the pipeline property class, the farmlands property class and the managed forest property class;

c) "Tax rate" means the tax rate to six decimal places to be levied against the assessment of the property;

d) "Tax ratio" means the ratio between the tax rates for each property class and the tax rate for the residential property class, when the residential property class ratio is 1.0;

e) "Upper-tier municipality" means the Corporation of the County of Dufferin.

2. THAT for the year 2016, the lower-tier municipalities in the Corporation of the County of Dufferin shall levy upon Residential assessment, Multi-residential assessment, Commercial assessment, Industrial assessment, Pipeline assessment, Farmland assessment and Managed Forest assessment, and applicable subclasses, the upper-tier tax rates for County purposes as set out in Schedule "B" attached hereto and which forms part hereof.

3. THAT County Council directs that the general upper-tier tax levy, for each lower-tier municipality (as detailed in Schedule "C" attached hereto) be paid to the Treasurer of the Corporation of the County of Dufferin in four instalments, as per s. 311(13) of the Municipal Act, 2001.

4. THAT the amounts outlined in s. 311(13) which are not received by the Corporation of the County of Dufferin on the dates specified shall bear interest thereon at the rate of 15 per cent per year pursuant to s. 311(19) of the Municipal Act, 2001.

5. THAT the County Treasurer is hereby directed and authorized to undertake any required action necessary to collect the levies herein, including advising the lower-tier municipalities of the terms of this by-law forthwith, after its enactment.
6. THAT for payments-in-lieu of taxes, as defined in s.306 of the Municipal Act, 2001 and due to the County of Dufferin under s. 322, s.323 and O. Reg. 423/02 of the Municipal Act, 2001:

a) the estimated amount will be provided to the County Treasurer by the Treasurer of each lower-tier municipality as required under the Municipal Act;

b) the actual amount due to the County of Dufferin will be based on the assessment rolls and the County rates of taxation for the year 2016.

7. THAT this bylaw comes into force on the day it is passed.

READ a first, second and third time and finally passed this 14th day of January, 2016.

Ken McGhee, Acting Warden

Pam Hillock, Clerk
## Schedule "A" to By-law # 2016-03

2012 Current Value Assessment, using Phased-in 2016 Values (year 4 of 4) to be used for 2016 Taxation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Class</th>
<th>Amaranth</th>
<th>Garafaxa</th>
<th>Grand Valley</th>
<th>Melancthon</th>
<th>Mono</th>
<th>Orangeville</th>
<th>Mulmur</th>
<th>Shelburne</th>
<th>County Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residential - Full, Shared PIL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>156,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>156,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential - Upper Tier &amp; Educ only</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential - Full</td>
<td>509,564,700</td>
<td>412,874,093</td>
<td>300,579,457</td>
<td>346,209,900</td>
<td>1,562,780,815</td>
<td>2,586,341,146</td>
<td>666,196,424</td>
<td>2,876,568,146</td>
<td>803,075,300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sub-Total Residential**: 509,564,700

| Multi-Residential - Full | 0        | 0        | 1,072,000    | 0          | 0    | 0           | 0      | 0         | 1,072,000   |
| Multi-Residential - Farmland Awaiting Development | 0        | 0        | 0            | 0          | 0    | 0           | 0      | 0         | 0           |

**Sub-Total Multi-Residential**: 1,072,000

| Commercial - Full | 13,292,000 | 6,989,300 | 6,864,100    | 6,438,400   | 71,928,220 | 2,958,000 | 7,980,400 | 3,218,800 | 44,784,000  |
| Commercial - Excess Land | 1,140,400  | 229,000   | 303,000    | 475,000    | 6,532,885   | 3,164,330 | 271,000   | 873,500   | 13,028,315  |
| Commercial - Vacant Land | 0        | 0        | 99,000    | 0          | 4,868,800   | 7,310,000 | 198,000   | 1,374,000 | 14,357,800  |
| Commercial - Full, Shared PIL | 0        | 0        | 0          | 0          | 0          | 0          | 0         | 0         | 0           |
| Commercial - New Constr. Full | 4,933,769  | 282,600   | 1,388,105   | 1,142,000   | 3,821,500   | 38,443,498 | 83,000    | 4,062,460 | 59,170,458  |
| Commercial - New Constr. Excess Land | 0        | 0        | 0          | 0          | 0          | 0          | 0         | 0         | 0           |
| Commercial - Farmland Awaiting Development | 0        | 0        | 0          | 0          | 0          | 0          | 0         | 0         | 0           |
| Office Building - Full | 0        | 0        | 0          | 0          | 1,093,000   | 0          | 1,093,000 | 0         | 0           |
| Parking Lot - Full | 0        | 0        | 0          | 0          | 0          | 0          | 0         | 0         | 0           |
| Shopping Centre - Full | 0        | 0        | 0          | 0          | 3,926,900   | 10,600,882 | 2,879,569 | 1,665,765 | 665,198,424 |

**Sub-Total Commercial**: 18,989,189

| Industrial - Full | 3,552,400 | 5,046,327 | 390,688 | 7,997,100 | 7,104,400 | 34,339,500 | 794,088 | 7,358,000 | 66,582,501  |
| Industrial - Excess Land | 154,000   | 84,100    | 0       | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0       | 0         | 2,417,283   |
| Industrial - Vacant Land | 936,000   | 0       | 68,700  | 120,000 | 5,498,000 | 0         | 52,000   | 1,460,700 | 10,492,100  |
| Industrial - Full, Shared PIL | 0        | 0        | 0          | 0          | 0          | 0          | 0         | 0         | 0           |
| Industrial - Full, Shared PIL Excess Land | 189,000   | 0        | 0          | 0          | 0          | 0          | 0         | 0         | 0           |
| Industrial - Full, Shared PIL Vacant Land | 0        | 0        | 0          | 0          | 0          | 0          | 0         | 0         | 0           |
| Industrial - New Constr. Full | 8,622,811 | 2,786,800 | 1,205,400 | 1,826,100 | 1,826,100 | 1,448,000 | 0       | 0         | 29,670,511  |
| Industrial - New Constr. Excess Land | 0         | 2,500     | 0          | 0          | 0         | 0          | 0         | 0         | 0           |
| Large Industrial - Full | 0         | 0        | 0          | 0          | 0         | 0          | 0         | 0         | 0           |
| Large Industrial - Excess Land | 0         | 0        | 0          | 0          | 0         | 0          | 0         | 0         | 0           |
| Large Industrial - Farmland Awaiting Development | 0         | 0        | 0          | 0          | 0         | 0          | 0         | 0         | 0           |

**Sub-Total Industrial**: 8,786,211

| Pipeline | 1,992,000 | 259,000 | 719,000 | 1,818,000 | 3,613,000 | 5,457,000 | 0       | 1,559,000 | 15,429,000  |
| Farmlands | 164,530,900 | 125,205,550 | 94,088,055 | 127,032,100 | 127,028,600 | 399,700 | 144,769,390 | 1,304,300 | 744,951,685  |
| Managed Forest | 2,494,700 | 2,500,000 | 1,819,000 | 1,471,000 | 23,744,000 | 0       | 31,159,900 | 0         | 93,198,100  |

**Total Taxable**: $706,357,700

| Payments-in-lieu | 729,300 | 0       | 2,063,500 | 209,400 | 15,965,000 | 5,984,100 | 14,355,500 | 3,024,500 | 42,361,300  |
| Exempt | 18,870,600 | 12,611,900 | 14,229,600 | 7,863,300 | 101,943,000 | 195,968,112 | 63,528,400 | 49,759,800 | 664,798,812  |

**Total 2016 Values = Destination Values**: $725,057,500
## 2016 COUNTY OF DUFFERIN TAX RATES AND LEVY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Class</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>2016 County Tax Rates (%)</th>
<th>CVA</th>
<th>Total Taxes Per Class 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>0.389947%</td>
<td>7,279,821,765</td>
<td>$28,387,428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full, Shared PIL</td>
<td>RH</td>
<td>0.389947%</td>
<td>198,000</td>
<td>$764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential, Upper-Tier &amp; Educ only</td>
<td>RL</td>
<td>0.389947%</td>
<td>745,000</td>
<td>$2,901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi Residential - Full</td>
<td>MT</td>
<td>1.045138%</td>
<td>95,326,000</td>
<td>$996,286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmland Awaiting Development - Phase 1</td>
<td>M1</td>
<td>0.136481%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial - Full</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>0.475735%</td>
<td>442,708,420</td>
<td>$2,106,113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess Land</td>
<td>CU</td>
<td>0.333015%</td>
<td>13,025,315</td>
<td>$43,376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant Land</td>
<td>CX</td>
<td>0.333015%</td>
<td>14,367,800</td>
<td>$47,847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full, Shared PIL</td>
<td>CH</td>
<td>0.475735%</td>
<td>5,546,000</td>
<td>$26,384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial New Constr. - Full</td>
<td>XT</td>
<td>0.475735%</td>
<td>59,170,458</td>
<td>$281,495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial New Constr. - Excess Land</td>
<td>XU</td>
<td>0.333015%</td>
<td>1,402,725</td>
<td>$4,671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmland Awaiting Development - Phase 1</td>
<td>CI</td>
<td>0.136481%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Building - Full</td>
<td>DT</td>
<td>0.475735%</td>
<td>1,009,000</td>
<td>$4,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Lot - Full</td>
<td>DT</td>
<td>0.475735%</td>
<td>1,063,000</td>
<td>$5,057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shopping Centre - Full</td>
<td>ST</td>
<td>0.475735%</td>
<td>110,725,570</td>
<td>$526,761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess Land</td>
<td>SU</td>
<td>0.333015%</td>
<td>2,071,560</td>
<td>$8,899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial - Full</td>
<td>IT</td>
<td>0.897259%</td>
<td>66,582,501</td>
<td>$570,778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess Land</td>
<td>IU</td>
<td>0.600062%</td>
<td>2,417,283</td>
<td>$14,506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant Land</td>
<td>IX</td>
<td>0.600062%</td>
<td>10,492,100</td>
<td>$62,961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full, Shared PIL</td>
<td>IH</td>
<td>0.857259%</td>
<td>1,460,700</td>
<td>$12,522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full, Shared PIL Vacant Land</td>
<td>IK</td>
<td>0.600062%</td>
<td>92,000</td>
<td>$552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full, Shared PIL Excess Land</td>
<td>IJ</td>
<td>0.600062%</td>
<td>189,000</td>
<td>$1,134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmland Awaiting Development - Phase 1</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>0.136481%</td>
<td>1,448,000</td>
<td>$1,976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial New Constr. - Full</td>
<td>JT</td>
<td>0.897259%</td>
<td>29,570,511</td>
<td>$253,456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess Land</td>
<td>JU</td>
<td>0.600062%</td>
<td>54,200</td>
<td>$325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large Industrial - Full</td>
<td>LT</td>
<td>0.857259%</td>
<td>28,919,000</td>
<td>$247,911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess Land</td>
<td>LU</td>
<td>0.600062%</td>
<td>693,200</td>
<td>$4,160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipeline</td>
<td>PT</td>
<td>0.326374%</td>
<td>15,429,000</td>
<td>$50,665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmlands</td>
<td>FT</td>
<td>0.097487%</td>
<td>784,951,595</td>
<td>$765,224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managed Forests</td>
<td>TT</td>
<td>0.097487%</td>
<td>63,196,100</td>
<td>$61,608</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total from Schedule A: $34,488,600

Total Taxes 2015: $32,250,245
# 2016 Tax Levy for Upper Tier Purposes by Area Municipality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROPERTY CLASS</th>
<th>TAX RATE</th>
<th>AMARANTH</th>
<th>GARAFRAXA</th>
<th>GRAND VALLEY</th>
<th>MELANCTHON</th>
<th>MONO</th>
<th>ORANGEVILLE</th>
<th>MULMUR</th>
<th>SHELDBURNE</th>
<th>COUNTY TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residential - Full, Shared PIL</td>
<td>0.389947%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>764</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential - Upper Tier &amp; Educ only</td>
<td>0.388947%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,801</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,801</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential - Full</td>
<td>0.388947%</td>
<td>1,987,032</td>
<td>1,608,983</td>
<td>1,172,056</td>
<td>1,390,303</td>
<td>6,693,997</td>
<td>11,428,774</td>
<td>2,801,342</td>
<td>2,801,342</td>
<td>2,801,342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-Residential - Farmland awaiting Devel.</td>
<td>0.388947%</td>
<td>1,987,032</td>
<td>1,610,758</td>
<td>1,172,065</td>
<td>1,350,830</td>
<td>6,093,357</td>
<td>11,321,676</td>
<td>2,833,921</td>
<td>2,351,674</td>
<td>28,389,063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-Residential - Full</td>
<td>1.049136%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11,204</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>841,439</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>143,643</td>
<td>996,683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial - New Constr.</td>
<td>0.126481%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial - Excess Land</td>
<td>0.478739%</td>
<td>63,235</td>
<td>28,399</td>
<td>27,660</td>
<td>32,890</td>
<td>338,891</td>
<td>1,597,736</td>
<td>37,792</td>
<td>181,920</td>
<td>2,136,113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial - Vacant Land</td>
<td>0.333015%</td>
<td>3,798</td>
<td>759</td>
<td>1,029</td>
<td>1,530</td>
<td>21,756</td>
<td>10,638</td>
<td>802</td>
<td>2,926</td>
<td>43,376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial - Full, Shared PIL</td>
<td>0.478739%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,452</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial New Constr. - Full</td>
<td>0.478739%</td>
<td>21,278</td>
<td>1,349</td>
<td>5,462</td>
<td>5,434</td>
<td>39,688</td>
<td>182,984</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>22,703</td>
<td>234,165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial New Constr. - Excess Land</td>
<td>0.333015%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,433</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial - Farmland awaiting Development</td>
<td>0.126481%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Building - Full</td>
<td>0.478739%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Lot - Full</td>
<td>0.478739%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shopping Centre - Full</td>
<td>0.478739%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18,677</td>
<td>540,246</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5,801</td>
<td>525,761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shopping Centre - Excess Land</td>
<td>0.333015%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,163</td>
<td>5,746</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUB-TOTAL COMMERCIAL</td>
<td>88,711</td>
<td>30,408</td>
<td>38,206</td>
<td>15,739</td>
<td>426,791</td>
<td>2,162,558</td>
<td>39,708</td>
<td>219,062</td>
<td>$3,053,403</td>
<td>8.88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial - Full</td>
<td>0.657259%</td>
<td>30,453</td>
<td>43,260</td>
<td>3,549</td>
<td>6,556</td>
<td>65,500</td>
<td>294,372</td>
<td>6,807</td>
<td>65,077</td>
<td>570,779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial - Excess Land</td>
<td>0.600022%</td>
<td>924</td>
<td>605</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>9,764</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14,506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial - Vacant Land</td>
<td>0.600022%</td>
<td>5,617</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>15,440</td>
<td>36,681</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5,091</td>
<td>62,961</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial - Full, Shared PIL</td>
<td>0.878739%</td>
<td>3,703</td>
<td>1,158</td>
<td>934</td>
<td>3,103</td>
<td>2,233</td>
<td>934</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>12,522</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial - Full, Shared PIL Vacant Land</td>
<td>0.600022%</td>
<td>1,134</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial - Full, Shared PIL Excess Land</td>
<td>0.600022%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>652</td>
<td>552</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial Farming awaiting Development</td>
<td>0.136481%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial New Constr. - Full</td>
<td>0.878739%</td>
<td>20,940</td>
<td>23,860</td>
<td>10,333</td>
<td>158,545</td>
<td>16,582</td>
<td>15,876</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>283,495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial New Constr. - Excess Land</td>
<td>0.600022%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>325</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large Industrial - Full</td>
<td>0.657259%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>91,076</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>168,535</td>
<td>247,611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large Industrial - Excess Land</td>
<td>0.600022%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>953</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5,197</td>
<td>4,160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUB-TOTAL INDUSTRIAL</td>
<td>72,031</td>
<td>67,600</td>
<td>15,262</td>
<td>226,765</td>
<td>96,675</td>
<td>441,941</td>
<td>7,742</td>
<td>242,055</td>
<td>$1,170,321</td>
<td>3.39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipeline</td>
<td>0.328747%</td>
<td>6,541</td>
<td>775</td>
<td>2,561</td>
<td>5,670</td>
<td>11,644</td>
<td>17,619</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>6,110</td>
<td>60,868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmlands</td>
<td>0.077487%</td>
<td>180,398</td>
<td>122,059</td>
<td>92,501</td>
<td>123,839</td>
<td>123,839</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>141,151</td>
<td>1,279</td>
<td>765,224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managed Forest</td>
<td>0.077487%</td>
<td>2,432</td>
<td>2,443</td>
<td>1,774</td>
<td>1,434</td>
<td>23,146</td>
<td>924</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>2,233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL TAX LEVY</td>
<td>$2,317,143</td>
<td>$1,843,102</td>
<td>$1,333,173</td>
<td>$1,746,868</td>
<td>$6,786,451</td>
<td>$14,969,023</td>
<td>$2,812,594</td>
<td>$2,982,945</td>
<td>$34,458,600</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% SHARE OF UPPER TIER LEVY</td>
<td>6.72%</td>
<td>5.32%</td>
<td>3.67%</td>
<td>5.06%</td>
<td>19.68%</td>
<td>42.81%</td>
<td>6.16%</td>
<td>8.59%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
amendment that will permit the residential use of a four unit converted dwelling at 45 Church Street.

[74] The Board's final order will issue upon confirmation that such agreement has been reached, and being provided with such amendments.

[75] The Board may be spoken to.

"H. Jackson"

H. JACKSON
MEMBER

If there is an attachment referred to in this document, please visit www.elto.gov.on.ca to view the attachment in PDF format.
January 15th, 2016

Mayor Williams & Members of Council
Town of Orangeville
87 Broadway
Orangeville, ON L9W 1K1

Dear Mayor and Members of Council:

As I prepare for the upcoming legislative session at Queen’s Park, I wanted to make sure you are aware of some of the newly enacted and proposed provincial legislation and how they will impact your municipality. These include:

Budget Measures Act, 2015 (Royal Assent) – Affects 23 pieces of legislation, including the Electricity Act, the Municipal Act and the Assessment Act. Changes to these Acts have an impact on individuals and your municipality.

Smart Growth for Our Communities Act, 2015 (Royal Assent) – Amends the Development Charges Act and the Planning Act with respect to which services are eligible for developmental charges and the review of a municipality’s growth plan.

Strengthening and Improving Government Act, 2015 (Royal Assent) – Affects 15 pieces of legislation, including the Provincial Offences Act and the Municipal Act.


I am also interested in hearing your comments on the Ministry of Natural Resources discussion paper “A Blueprint for Change: A proposal to modernize and strengthen the Aggregate Resources Act policy framework”, and comments or suggestions you have on how the provincial government can prevent the illegal dumping of fill in our community. If you would like to meet with me to discuss these or other provincial issues, please don’t hesitate to contact my office at 1-800-265-1603 or at sylvia.jonesco@pc.ola.org.

Sincerely,

Sylvia Jones, MPP
Dufferin-Caledon
Recommendations

That report PR-2016-05, Urban Slide Event, be received;

And that Council approve the Urban Slide Event organized by 365 Sports Inc. be hosted in the Town of Orangeville on Saturday, July 16, 2016 or Saturday, July 23, 2016, to be located on Alder Street;

And that Council direct staff to continue working with 365 Sports Inc. and the Rotary Club of Orangeville to determine the best of the two event dates;

And that Council consider selecting the Rotary Club of Orangeville as the “Charity of Choice” for the fundraising component of the Urban Slide Event.

Background

In November 2015, 365 Sports Inc. (an event management company based out of Meaford, Ontario) contacted staff in the Parks and Recreation Department to begin discussions on hosting The Urban Slide Canada event in Orangeville. 365 Sports Inc. describes the Urban Slide as:

“…the world’s best Slip N’ Slide. Equipped with the latest vinyl, technology in recirculating water, built for steady sliding and extraordinary family fun! At a 1,000ft long The Urban Slide contends as one of the world’s longest and biggest Slip N’ Slides! The Urban Slide Canada is a 100% Canadian owned and operated company and our Urban Slide is made right here in North America. We built this slide, so all you Slip N’ Slide enthusiast can Slide the Urban way, smack dab in the middle of the city!”

Mr. Jesse Fulton, President of 365 Sports Inc. made a presentation to the Events Committee on December 3, 2015. The Events Committee passed the following motion:
Moved by: J. Williams

That, the Events Committee recommends that staff pursue the potential of holding a 365 Sports Urban Slide event in 2016, as per the discussion of the Committee.

Carried

Possible locations and event dates were discussed at the meeting and are continuing to be reviewed by staff and 365 Sports Inc:

Option 1 - Saturday, July 16, 2016 in conjunction with the Rotary Rib Fest with the slide location on Alder Street necessitating a road closure from Riddell to past the Westside Secondary School parking lot.

Option 2 – Saturday, July 23, 2016 with the slide location on Alder Street necessitating a road closure from Riddell to past the Westside Secondary School parking lot.

The Events Committee asked staff to continue to pursue options for the event. Staff have been in contact with the Rotary Club of Orangeville to discuss the possibility of sharing the Alder Street “campus” for the event on Saturday, July 16, 2016. The Rotary Club is receptive to continue discussions on the event logistics to ensure that all aspects are considered; that the additional slide event does not contravene other amusement agreements in place for Rib Fest, that the event can accommodate the additional visitors and parking requirements.

As the event organizer 365 Sports Inc. has considered several locations within the Town to host the Urban Slide. According to their requirements and their knowledge of Orangeville, 365 Sports has recommended Alder Street as their preferred location.

The Urban Slide event is fully managed by 365 Sports Inc. It is a twenty-four (24) hour set-up and take-down event. 365 Sports will arrive on site at 12:00am (midnight) the day of the event and begin set-up. Set-up is completed by approximately 7:00am with testing to be completed by 9:00am. The event typically begins mid-morning and is finished by 6:00pm. Take-down follows and the event is finished by 11:59pm.
This event can host 5,000 to 6,000 participants. 365 Sports Inc. provides all staff required for the event, typically in the range of 40 to 50 staff. The municipality can supplement the event staff with volunteers. Food concessions are selected by the local municipality. 365 Sports Inc. provided changing tents, porta-potties and a bag check (of which 100% of proceeds go to the local charity of choice).

Analysis

Staff has had discussions with 365 Sports Inc., Councillor Don Kidd, Chair of the Events Committee and the Orangeville Rotary Club with regard to event date, logistics and location.

The Rotary Club is willing to consider the event be held in conjunction with the Rotary Rib Fest but would like to ensure that logistics such as parking considerations are met. The Westside Secondary School parking lot would be used as a slide event area for washroom and change room facilities and other slide event staging and equipment needs.

The Urban Slide Event has a charitable component. One hundred percent of funds raised through the bag check are given back to a local charity of the host Municipality’s choice. Staff recommends that Council consider selecting the Rotary Club of Orangeville as the charity of choice.

Staff recommends that Council approve the continued discussions between Town staff, 365 Sports Inc. and the Rotary Club to ensure all aspects of both the Urban Slide event and the Rotary Rib Fest are considered. 365 Sports Inc. is prepared to host the event on either Saturday, July 16 or Saturday, July 23. With Council’s approval, timely discussions between all parties will take place the week of Feb 1, 2016. Immediate action is required to finalize the event date and it is recommended that Council give staff the direction to select either date based on discussions with all parties. Once staff has determined the best date this will allow 365 Sports Inc. to open registration and promotion of the event. This is key to the success of the event. At this time there are no other scheduled events or activities to be hosted at the Alder Street Recreation on Saturday, July 23 leaving this date as a viable option.

With respect to road closures, staff will ensure the proper procedures are in place to necessitate the closure of Alder Street and will ensure that all Town departments are consulted where required. Staff will provide a report to Council for final approval.

Financial Impact
There are no direct financial implications or event fees associated with hosting the Urban Slide event in Orangeville. The event is managed by 365 Sports Inc.

**Vision and Values**

- Encouraging community involvement.
- Encouraging a healthy lifestyle.
- Exceptional recreation and leisure opportunities.

Respectfully submitted

Ed Brennan
Interim CAO/Director Parks and Recreation

Prepared by

Sharon Doherty
Manager, Recreation Programs

Attachments:

1. Urban Slide Question and Answers
2. Urban Slide Rendering
**URBAN SLIDE CANADA**

**WHAT IS IT?**
The Urban slide is a fun for all event that takes place in cities, towns and municipalities. It is a 1000’ Slip’ N Slide that is placed on a down slope in the centre of a street.

**WHAT COMES WITH HOSTING AN URBAN SLIDE EVENT**
For hosting an event there is not much needed other than some support from the community. The slide is 1000’ long and 24’ wide. It takes up the entire width of an average road with all the infrastructure and mechanics that run it. We work closely with the community involved to include as many services that may be provided by them. We need to be able to close a road for almost 24 hours starting at Midnight prior to the day of the event. During the closure our team of operations staff place and build out the event to prepare for the morning start. In addition we need access to water, police, EMS and hopefully some volunteers. Above and beyond the event slide itself we look for places to park, have a festival area, food and beverage locations.

**WHAT BENEFITS DOES THE URBAN SLIDE BRING TO THE HOST COMMUNITY?**
Well first off it is a huge attraction!!! There is large economic impact based on our ability to host up to 4000 people at the event safely and properly. In addition to the sliders there are generally more spectators than participants. It is a happy positive "G" rated event. We do align with the municipality and the community to get as many people involved as possible. We have a charity component and do our best to raise funds for community programs as well as National programs. Working with clubs like Rotary and Kinsmen is common. The press and media surrounding the event is quite prominent in addition to the millions of impressions on web and social media.

**WHAT DOES IT LOOK LIKE?**

![THE #1000FT SLIP N SLIDE!
REGISTER NOW!](image)

**CLICK THE PLAY BUTTON TO WATCH A VIDEO!**
DO YOU HAVE AN EXAMPLE OF THE EVENT LAYOUT?
Yes, below is an example of the event site.

HOW DOES THE WATER WORK?
We tap into town or city water usually through 1-2 fire hydrants. The event uses approximately 80,000 gallons of water throughout the day. 80,000 gallons sounds like a lot however, it is comparable to about a third of an average golf course's daily water usage. The water run off follows the usual route through the drains and either into the watershed or treatment facility.

WHERE DO YOU GET THE POWER TO RUN ALL THE FANS, PUMPS AND ENTERTAINMENT SYSTEMS?
Some venues have access to power others we use generators. One side of the slide is used exclusively for operations and infrastructure and the other for foot traffic, sponsors and spectators.

WHAT DO YOU DO FOR INSURANCE, REGISTRATION AND WAIVERS?
We provide general liability insurance of 10 million dollars and list all parties as additionally insured. We have a thorough waiver system and all participants must check in with a signed waiver in order to get a wristband for entry into the event. Participants are monitored in a line system and all are checked before access to the slide by our event marshals. The event is fenced for the entire length to control access and spectators.

We can add any community's waivers to this process however as we are the carrier of the liability insurance this is usually not the case.

HOW MUCH PARKING DO YOU NEED?
We typically try to find access to parking for 500-1000 cars. The closer the parking lot(s) the better however we have and do shuttle participants from offsite parking if needed.

WHAT IS THE ROAD CLOSURE PROCESS?
We work directly with the town and police on all road closure and detour needs to create a traffic plan that suits all and is managed properly.

HAVE ANY OTHER QUESTIONS?
We are happy to help. Please contact Jesse at 519.938.7387 or jesse@365sports.ca and he will address any questions you may have.

365 SPORTS EVENTS 365 Sports | 71 Edwin Street East, Unit 3 & 4, Meaford, ON | N4L 1C4
Attachment #2 - PR-2016-05 – Urban Slide Rendering
February 1, 2016
Recommendations

For the consideration of Council.

Background and Analysis

Mill Square Park is located on the west side of Mill Street between Little York Street and Church Street, as shown on Attachment No. 1 to this report. Environmental studies on the abutting property that was formerly owned by Orangeville Hydro on the northwest corner of Mill Street and Church Street raised concerns with respect to soil and groundwater quality. In 2013, RJ Burnside & Associates Ltd. (Burnside) was retained to undertake soil and groundwater testing in and around Mill Square Park and to compare the results to applicable Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change Site Condition Standards (SCS). Burnside completed the soil and groundwater testing and issued a report entitled: Soil and Groundwater Testing 2013 on Town Lands in the Vicinity of Mill Street and Church Street, Orangeville, Ontario (February 2014).

At its May 12, 2014 meeting, council received report PW-2014-25 describing the results of soil and groundwater testing completed by Burnside and documented in the above noted report. The report noted that some of the soil samples collected exceeded the applicable SCS for various metals, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) and petroleum hydrocarbons (PHC). Earlier soil tests on the property formerly owned by Orangeville Hydro yielded similar results.

The Burnside report included conclusions and recommendations, including several relating to the future use of Mill Square Park. Specifically, Burnside noted that a new park created from combining a portion of Mill Square Park with the former Orangeville Hydro Property would require a Record of Site Condition (RSC). The RSC could be based on a Risk Assessment and risk management measures.

In the latter part of 2015, staff were provided with a draft Site Grading Plan and Site Servicing Plan for Bravery Park, which is located on the property formerly owned by Orangeville Hydro and portions of Mill Square Park. The plan was forwarded to
Burnside and they were asked to provide comment on what assessments may be required to develop Bravery Park as contemplated by the plan.

Burnside`s response is included as Attachment No. 2 to this report. In summary, Burnside has reiterated their conclusions and recommendations from their above noted study. Specifically, Burnside has indicated that a RSC will be required to change the use from industrial to parkland, and that there are two options to secure a RSC: clean up the residual contamination on the site to meet the parkland land use SCS, or conduct a Risk Assessment. Burnside estimates that a clean up would cost in the order of $300,000 and take over a year to complete, while a Risk Assessment would cost in the order of $60,000 and take approximately a year to complete.

Staff suggest that the following options are available to Council:

1. Receive the report and direct staff to proceed with a clean up of the site in an effort to remove residual contamination and secure a Record of Site Condition;
2. Receive the report and direct staff to proceed with a Risk Assessment leading to a Certificate of Property Use registered on title limiting the use of the property; or
3. Receive the report and take no other action at this time.

Note that the first two options will require funding through the budget process.

Financial Impact

The financial impact of this report will depend on the direction given by Council. No allowance for work to facilitate the redevelopment of the site has been included in the 2016 budget. Thus, the financial impact of undertaking remedial works to remove residual site contamination would be approximately $300,000. Alternatively, the financial impact associated with undertaking a Risk Assessment would be approximately $60,000.

Vision and Values

This report is consistent with the Town’s visions and values in that it spends taxpayer’s money wisely and demonstrates caring for the environment.

Respectfully submitted

Ed Brennan
Interim CAO
Director Parks and Recreation

Director of Public Works

Attachments:

1. Site Plan
2. E-mail
Hi Doug,

What you propose is fine from a technical standpoint. The construction proposed and the land use is not a technical issue. However, it triggers some regulatory hoops with O. Reg. 153/04, as amended. According to the way the regulation is written the property is formerly "industrial" and will now be "parkland", according to the MOECC designations. This triggers the need for a Record of Site Condition (RSC). If the property was clean and met the parkland landuse standards for soil and groundwater, it would be an easy step to file an RSC. In this case there is residual contamination, above the parkland standards on the property (as well as the adjacent parkland) from historical activities. The choices are:

- clean up the property to parkland standards
- conduct a Risk Assessment to document how the future use of the site will control risk through risk management measures, such as covering the surface and limiting the potential for exposure to the residual contamination. A Certificate of Property Use would be registered on title limiting the use of the property.

The clean up approach will be expensive as there is residual contamination in the soil and groundwater and there is contamination on surrounding properties which may be difficult to control. A very rough estimate would be in the order of $300k and would take over a year to complete due to the timelines for confirmatory groundwater monitoring.

The Risk Assessment approach would likely be more cost effective but it and could take a year to complete. The timeline is primarily due to MOECC review timelines. A rough estimate would be in the order of $60k. This is based on using a Tier 2 Risk Assessment approach which involves a design that isolates surface users from the contamination. The presence of groundwater contamination in close proximity to the creek could also be an issue.

Please let us know if the Town wishes to pursue one of these options and we can develop a detailed cost estimate.

Regards

Jim Walls, P.Geo.
Geoscientist

R.J. Burnside & Associates Limited
15 Townline
Orangeville, Ontario L9W 3R4
jim.walls@rjburnside.com
Office: 519-941-5331
Direct Line: 519-938-3031
www.rjburnside.com
Recommendations

That report PW-2016–03 dated February 1, 2016 with respect to an Agreement for Winter Maintenance of Go Transit – Metrolinx Bus and 49 Townline Terminal, be received;

And that Council consider a by-law authorizing the Mayor and Clerk to sign an agreement with GO Transit – Metrolinx to undertake winter maintenance control for the period ending April 30, 2016 at various bus stop locations and at the Townline Terminal;

And that Council authorize staff to have the option to extend the Agreement on the same terms for an additional two winters.

Background

Since 2007, GO Transit has been providing important transportation services to the residents of Orangeville. On a regular bases, the GO Transit bus routes through the Town making numerous stops. In 2014, GO Transit improved a number of their existing stops to better serve their ridership and to provide full pedestrian accessibility features. These features included concrete platforms and a bus shelter. The on-street bus stop improvements were completed in October 2014. Subsequent to the completion of the bus stop improvements, GO Transit – Metrolinx approached Public Works about winter control maintenance services for the winter season of 2014-2015 at three of the reconstructed bus stops. Council approved the winter maintenance for 2014-2015 at their meeting January 12, 2015 (PW-2015-01). GO Transit – Metrolinx has asked that the winter control maintenance services be continued for the 2015-2016 winter season.
Analysis

GO Transit as part of their on-going bus route improvements, recently constructed new concrete pads at three of their on-street stops in the Town of Orangeville. The three locations are the stops at Blind Line and Hansen Boulevard, West Broadway and Banting Drive and East Broadway and Fourth Street. The concrete pads were installed to facilitate the ease of accessibility, making the bus stops barrier free. A “clear accessible area” is needed to accommodate the deployment of bus lift devices plus roll off/roll on areas for wheeled mobility aids. The three stops identified are currently used solely by GO Transit and do not serve as stops for Orangeville Transit. The stops are however an integral part of the Town’s sidewalk system.

Under its winter control maintenance programme, the Town of Orangeville currently provides winter maintenance for the sidewalk areas adjacent to the new GO Transit stops. Should Council decide to continue the winter control services in 2015-2016 at the three on-street bus stops and the 49 Townline Terminal winter control would be carried out in accordance with the conditions set out in our winter control policies. Costs for winter control would be as follows:

- Snow Clearing at Bus Stops (>50 mm) $375 per event per site
- Salting & Sanding only (based on lump sum) $ 50 per event per site
- Snow Clearing at 49 Townline Terminal (>50 mm) $ 80 per hour

The unit rates have been provided to GO Transit – Metrolinx and they are accepting of the unit rates as well as the conditions set out in our winter control policies.

A review by Public Works has also determined that Operations have the necessary staff and equipment to undertake the anticipated winter control work at the three GO Transit stops and the 49 Townline Terminal. Given the integrated nature of the locations, it is also considered reasonable to undertake winter control maintenance at the same time as Orangeville undertakes its winter maintenance control services. The winter maintenance control services will conclude on April 30, 2016. As Public Works foresee no issues with the arrangement with GO Transit – Metrolinx that results in additional revenue, staff recommends that Council authorize the Mayor and Clerk to sign an agreement for winter maintenance with GO Transit – Metrolinx for the 2015-2016 winter season. Staff further recommends that Staff have the option to extend the winter control services agreement on the same terms for an additional two winter seasons beyond the current 2015-2016 season.

Financial Impact

The agreement requires GO Transit – Metrolinx to pay for winter maintenance on an event basis at the unit rates as set out in this report. Accordingly, winter maintenance
for the various on-street bus stop locations and the 49 Townline Terminal parking lot will be a source of revenue for the Town of Orangeville. There will be a positive financial impact on the Town of Orangeville.

Vision and Values

This report is consistent with the Town’s Vision and Values statement in that it spends taxpayers’ money wisely, provides for a barrier free community and provides for a safe and secure environment.

Respectfully submitted

Prepared by

Douglas G. Jones, M.E.Sc.,
Director of Public Works

R. John Lackey, P. Eng.,
Manager of Operations & Development

Attachments:

1. None
Recommendations

That report PW-2016-04 dated February 1, 2016 with respect to an Agreement for Winter Maintenance of the Wellington-Dufferin-Guelph Health Unit (WDGHU) Parking Lot, 174 Broadway, be received:

And That Council consider a by-law authorizing the Mayor and Clerk to sign an agreement with the Wellington-Dufferin-Guelph Health Unit to undertake winter maintenance control for the period ending April 30, 2016 at 174 Broadway in the Town of Orangeville;

And That Council authorize staff to have the option to extend the Agreement on the same terms for an additional two winter seasons.

Background

At its meeting on June 11, 2014, Council received Report No. BB-2014-05 which summarized construction costs for the parking lots at 172 & 174 Broadway. The cost of the works was equally shared by the Town and the Wellington-Dufferin-Guelph Health Unit (WDGHU). The parking lot reconstruction works were completed in September 2014. Subsequent to the completion of the parking lot construction, WDGHU approached Building & By-law about winter control maintenance services for the 2014-2015 winter season of the newly constructed parking lot at 174 Broadway.

At its meeting on January 12, 2015, Council received Report No. PW-2015-02 in which they approved winter maintenance services for the parking lot at 174 Broadway for the
2014-2015 winter season. Staff evaluated the winter maintenance services provided during the 2014-2015 winter season to determine if winter maintenance services beyond the initial season should continue to be provided.

Analysis

The parking lots of 172 and 174 Broadway functions as one integral parking lot. Under its winter control maintenance programme, the Town of Orangeville provided winter maintenance to both parking lots during the 2014-2015 winter season. The WDGHU asked the Town Operation Staff if they would consider continued winter maintenance on the parking lot portion of the WDGHU parking lot, 174 Broadway in 2015-2016. Costs for winter control services were reviewed and are as follows:

Ploughing of snow (50 mm to 125 mm) $100 per hour
Ploughing of snow (>125 mm)$150 per hour
Snow Removal (based on lump sum removal cost) $200 per removal
Salting & Sanding only (based on lump sum) $90 per event

Given the size of the WDGHU lot, it is anticipated that winter maintenance of the lot at 174 Broadway will take approximately ½ hr to 1 hour per event. The unit rates have been provided to the WDGHU and they are accepting of the unit rates as well as the conditions set out in our winter control policies.

The winter maintenance activities have also been reviewed by Public Works. Operations have the necessary staff and equipment to undertake the anticipated winter control in 2015-2016. Given the integrated nature of the two parking lots, it is also considered reasonable to undertake winter control maintenance at both lots at the same time. As Public Works and Building and By-law foresee no issues with this arrangement of winter maintenance at 172 and 174 Broadway and entering into an agreement with the WDGHU that results in additional revenue, staff recommends that Council authorize the Mayor & Clerk to sign an agreement for winter maintenance with the WDGHU. The agreement allows Staff and the WDGHU the option to extend the winter control services in subsequent years beyond the 2015-2016 winter season on similar terms.

Financial Impact

This agreement requires the WDGHU to pay for winter maintenance on an event basis at the unit rates set out in this report. Accordingly winter maintenance for the parking lot
at 174 Broadway will be a source of revenue for the Town of Orangeville. There will be a positive financial impact on the Town of Orangeville.

**Vision and Values**

This Report is consistent with the Town’s Vision and Values statement in that it spends taxpayers’ money wisely and provides for a safe and secure environment.

Respectfully submitted

R. John Lackey, P. Eng.,
Manager of Operations & Development

Vern Douglas, CBCO
Director of Building & By-law Enforcement

Attachments:

1. None
Subject: Creek Signage Project
Committee: Heritage Orangeville
Meeting Date: February 1, 2016

Recommendations

That the Creek Signage Project be received;

And that the Creek Signage Project as outlined within this report be approved for completion in 2016;

And that funds remaining in the 2015 Arts & Culture Committee and Heritage Orangeville budgets be placed in a reserve for the completion of this project in 2016 with remaining funds contributed by 2016 Arts & Culture Committee and Heritage Orangeville budgets.

Background

In the fall of 2015, Heritage Orangeville worked to develop a creek signage initiative that would name significant creeks within the Town of Orangeville and which, in some high traffic areas, would also provide historical information about the creek and its importance in the development of the Town. Interpretive signage for the Town’s most significant creek – Mill Creek – was created for inclusion on potential signage for Mill Creek at the Wellington, Mill and Bythia Street bridges. The information proposed for inclusion on each sign is attached to this report as Attachment 1.

Draft concept designs for the signs and posts were also created with options that could be used in either high or low traffic areas. Attention was paid to ensuring that the designs were complementary to those created for the Town’s way-finding signage and that the Town’s icons and colours were utilized in a similar fashion. The draft sign designs feature the creek name printed on both sides as well as an optional interpretive plaque to be included at select locations. The draft designs are attached as Attachment 2.
Analysis

The Creek Signage Project meets goals and objectives of both Heritage Orangeville and the Arts & Culture Committees. Based on quotes received by local sign manufacturers, it is estimated that three name/interpretive signs could be created to honour Mill Creek for installation at the north end of Wellington, Mill and Bythia Streets for $7,487 (+HST).

Funds totaling $6228 are available within the 2015 Heritage Orangeville and Arts & Culture Committee budgets. By placing these funds in a reserve and adding $1260 (+HST) from the 2016 Committee budgets, a very positive, strong start to the Creek Signage Project could be achieved. Both committees have demonstrated support for this project.

Financial Impact

The total project budget would be $7487 (+HST) with $6,228 contributed from a reserve fund established from the 2015 Heritage Orangeville and Arts & Culture budgets and the remaining funding provided by the two 2016 committee budgets.

Vision and Values

Supporting arts and culture and honouring our heritage – The Creek Signage Project will engage the community, help to stimulate and promote economic growth from cultural tourism, support the growth of arts and culture, enhance the Town’s environment, and pay tribute to the Town’s historical development.

Respectfully submitted,

Councillor Sylvia Bradley
Chair, Heritage Orangeville

Attachments

1. Attachment 1 – Interpretive Signage - Stories
2. Attachment 2 – Creek Signage Draft Designs
1. By the Wellington Street bridge:

In 1837, James Griggs bought 100 acres south of what is now Broadway and built the first mill here on what became known as Mill Creek. A small settlement called Griggs' Mill started to grow around this new grist mill as the pioneering farmers in the area made use of the mill to grind their grain.

Orange Lawrence bought 300 acres on the south side of Broadway including the mill site in 1843. Over the next few years, Lawrence built a second mill, opened a general store, founded the first school, and became the first postmaster. The growing settlement was renamed Orangeville to honour Orange Lawrence.

As new mills were built, the small original mill became less important and was torn down. Eventually, Thomas King bought the property in 1886 and built a successful furniture factory on the old mill site. J. Armstrong and J. Robinson leased the building from King in 1895 and opened a foundry. The furniture factory was improved by these new businessmen and the Armstrong Foundry building at 2 Wellington Street remains relatively intact to this day.

2. By the Mill Street Bridge:

In 1857, a new stone mill was built at the corner of Mill Street and Little York Street by Thomas Jull and John Walker Reid, both sons-in-law of Orange Lawrence. The mill converted to electric power in 1913 when it was producing 75 barrels a day of “Gold Anchor” and “Pride of Dufferin” flour. Gradually the production of livestock feed replaced flour milling, but this activity also dwindled and in 1972 the mill closed. The building was demolished in 1993. A small portion of the west foundation remains as a reminder of this important building.

A small hydroelectric generation facility for the Town was once located east of the Mill Street bridge.
3. By the Bythia Street bridge:

Mill Creek winds its way east through Orangeville. Now just a trickle, the Mill Creek once ran with sufficient velocity to power several mills before joining the Credit River at the wetlands east of Town Line.

*Mill Creek flooding at Bythia Street through what is now KayCee Gardens.*
Mill Creek

Name Panel Detail
-1/4" aluminum composite panel with vinyl graphics (double sided)

Interpretive Panel Detail
-Cast aluminum plaque raised surfaces finished “satin” (brushed) aluminum
-Background finish PMS 301C, “leatherette” texture
-Etched illustration 0.625” stainless steel applique

Town of Orangeville
Wayfinding Signage Programme: Creeks and Rivers

December 9, 2015
Recommendations

That report CL-2016-03, dated February 1, 2016, with respect to applications to serve on the Orangeville Sustainability Action Team (OSAT) and Heritage Orangeville, be received;

And that Council consider the committee applications as presented.

Background and Analysis

Council directed staff to advertise seeking volunteers to serve on the Orangeville Sustainability Action Team and Heritage Orangeville to fill current vacancies.

An ad seeking applications for a volunteer to serve on the Orangeville Sustainability Action Team (OSAT) was placed in the Orangeville Citizen on the Town page on January 7, 14 and 21 and posted on the Town’s website accordingly. The deadline for receiving submissions was January 26. Four applications were received in response to the ad.

At its meeting on January 18, Council considered applications to serve on various Boards and Committees. Applications to serve on Heritage Orangeville were deferred to the February 1 meeting. There are currently three vacancies on Heritage Orangeville. Two applications were received in response to the ad.

As the applications contain personal information, they are being provided as part of a closed meeting agenda under Section 239(2)(b) of the Municipal Act, 2010.

Financial Impact

None
Vision and Values

Ensuring appropriate representation on the Town’s Boards and Committees contributes to meeting the objectives of the Town’s vision and values.

Respectfully submitted

Prepared by

________________________________________  ______________________________________
Susan Greatrix, Clerk                     Susan Lankheit, Deputy Clerk
Memorandum

Subject: Arts and Culture Committee Resignation
– Mary T. Rose

Committee: Arts and Culture Committee

Meeting Date: February 1, 2016

Recommendation

That correspondence from Ms. Mary Rose, dated January 12, 2016, with respect to resignation from the Arts and Culture Committee, be received.

Background

At its meeting held on January 13, 2016, the Arts and Culture Committee received correspondence from Ms. Mary Rose, dated January 12, 2016, with respect to resignation from the Arts and Culture Committee.

Respectfully submitted,

________________________
Councillor Sylvia Bradley, Chair
Arts and Culture Committee

Attachments

1. Correspondence from Ms. Mary Rose
January 12, 2016

Dear Councillor Bradley and (Lorena Hurtubise Committee Administrator),

I would be most grateful if you would share my resignation from the Arts & Culture Committee with the Mayor, Council and committee members.

It has been my honour to serve with such an enthusiastic and hard-working group of people and totally amazingly committed staff.

I can resign knowing that the Cultural Plan is in good hands. I will be a supporter of all the initiatives as they come to fruition.

Thank you for the opportunity to continue to serve the residents of the Town of Orangeville.

Sincerely,

Mary T. Rose
Minutes of a Meeting of the
Arts and Culture Committee
held at 2:00 p.m., December 9, 2015

Members Present:
Ms. Mary Rose (Chair)
Councillor Sylvia Bradley
Ms. Darla Fraser
Mr. Ken Hall
Ms. Anne Jordan
Ms. Lisa Post
Ms. Alison Scheel

Staff Present:
Ms. Lorena Hurtubise, Committee Administrator
Ms. Ruth Phillips, Manager, Economic Development

Guest Present:
Natasha Valentine, BIA Events, Market and
Communications Coordinator

Members Absent:
Mr. Gerry Holden
Ms. Marilyn Logan (regrets)
Mr. David Nairn (regrets)
Ms. Ann Randeraad (regrets)
Mr. Ricky Schaede (regrets)

1. Call to Order

The meeting was called to order by the Chair, Mary Rose at 2:00 p.m.

2. Disclosures

None

3. Delegations and Presentations

None

4. Minutes

4.1. Minutes of a regular meeting held on November 11, 2015, were presented.

Moved by Alison Scheel
That minutes of a regular meeting held on November 11, 2015, as amended, be received.

Carried.
5. **Business Arising from Minutes**

Ken Hall stated that the Board of the Dufferin Arts Council (DAC) will be voting on a request to act as the lead applicant for a Trillium grant application for an Arts and Culture feasibility study. Ken said he will contact Ruth Phillips to advise her on the DAC Board decision.

Councillor Bradley provided a brief update regarding the Tree Sculpture and garden located at the corner of Fead Street across from ODSS.

Councillor Bradley advised the committee that a request to Council with respect to coordination of art related public displays was approved by Council and further action will be determined in the future.

Councillor Bradley informed the committee that inclusion of a provision of a percentage of new development funds for public art was discussed at the Official Plan Review meeting. Ruth Phillips referred to item 4.1(a) in the Cultural Plan with respect to incentives under Section 37 of the Planning Act.

The Committee Administrator was directed to contact Karen Mills, Acting Treasurer, to obtain the following:

- the amount generated in the budget for development charges and how it can be utilized to support Arts and Culture, and

- the parameters regarding spending of development charges funds.

The committee requested the Acting Treasurer be invited to attend the February committee meeting to explain the process and allocation of development charges funds.

6. **Correspondence**

   None

7. **Information**

   7.1. 2016 Scheduled Meeting Dates.

8. **Items for Discussion**

   8.1. **Budget Update**

   The committee reviewed the budget to date. Councillor Bradley stated that remaining budget funds from the 2015 Arts and Culture budget will be used for the Mill Creek Interpretive signs.
8.2. **Utility Box Art Displays Update**

Councillor Bradley said there is no further update at this time regarding the utility box art displays, and said she will provide further information at the next meeting.

8.3. **Mill Creek Interpretive Signage Update**

Ruth Phillips distributed drawings of the Mill Creek interpretive signs that will be mounted on a decorative post at crossing locations. The committee discussed design and colour and suggested the icon colour be consistent with the way-finding signs. Ruth stated that the cost per sign will be approximately $2500.

9. **New Business**

The Chair asked which department is responsible for maintaining the painted wall murals in the downtown district. Ruth Phillips advised that the Economic Development budget has a $5,000 per year reserve for wall mural maintenance in the downtown business district.

Councillor Bradley asked if the winter indoor farmers’ market could have better directional signage. The committee discussed market sign options.

Anne Jordan provided an update on the Orangeville Art Group Show held at the Best Western.

Ken Hall advised that the Dufferin Arts Council is working on the Symposium which will be held in April 2016.

Darla Fraser provided an update on Library activities and events and informed the committee that renovations on the third floor of the Mill Street branch will commence in 2016.

Councillor Bradley said that the request to Council for two heritage conservation district studies and the proposal to prepare the studies by Lynda Addy and Diana Tracey, was approved.

Councillor Bradley informed the committee that OSAT is working on finalizing three bike routes through town and are currently seeking sponsorship for the cost of the signs and maps.

10. **Date of Next Meeting**

The next meeting to be held on January 13, 2015 at 1:00 p.m.
11. Adjournment

Moved by Alison Scheel
The meeting was adjourned at (3:30 p.m.).

_____________________  ________________________
Chairperson                      Committee Administrator
The Corporation of the Town of Orangeville  
Council Chambers  
87 Broadway, Orangeville

Minutes of a budget meeting of the  
Finance and Administration Committee of Council  
held on January 11, 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Members Present</th>
<th>Staff Present</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mayor</td>
<td>J. Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deputy Mayor</td>
<td>W. Maycock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillors:</td>
<td>S. Bradley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D. Kidd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N. Garisto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S. Wilson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Members Absent (notice)</td>
<td>G. Campbell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mr. E. Brennan, Interim Chief Administrative Officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mr. V. Douglas, Director of Building &amp; By-law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ms. D. Fraser, Chief Librarian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ms. S. Greatrix, Clerk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mr. D. Jones, Director of Public Works</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acting Chief R. Morden, Fire Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ms. N. Tuckett, Director of Economic Development, Planning and Innovation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ms. K. Mills, Acting Treasurer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mr. C. Cosgrove, Manager of Facilities and Parks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ms. S. Doherty, Manager of Recreation Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ms. S. Duncan, Communications Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ms. J. Gohn, Manager, Human Resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mr. J. Hall, IT Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mr. J. Lackey, Manager, Operations &amp; Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ms. H. McGinnity, Manager, Environmental Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ms. L. Pacheco, Financial Analyst</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ms. R. Phillips, Manager, Economic Development</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Call to Order

Disclosures of (Direct or Indirect) Pecuniary Interest

None.
Question Period

Alan Toms, 10 Sunset Drive, L9W 2G9, questioned

- whether the Town had specified the level of service required for a costing for OPP services. Mr. E. Brennan, Interim Chief Administrative Officer, advised that those details will be identified during the costing stage.
- why a sidewalk was being considered for the west side of Centre Street. Mr. D. Jones, Director of Public Works, advised that Council requested that the project be brought forward as part of the draft budget, but it is considered low priority from a staff perspective.
- why the reconstruction of Faulkner Street was not included right up to Broadway. Mr. D. Jones, Director of Public Works, advised that the portion of Faulkner Street immediately north of Broadway could be included, but is in reasonable shape and does not need replacement of the underground services required in the section from Elizabeth Street to Zina Street.
- why a sidewalk on Amelia Street is being considered. Mr. D. Jones, Director of Public Works, advised that as a result of residents’ requests, Council approved a resolution asking staff to include it as a mandatory project in the 2016 Capital Budget.

Budget-related questions

Budget-related questions submitted to and answered by Treasury were presented to Council.

1. Moved by Deputy Mayor Maycock

That the budget-related questions and answers be received.

Carried Unanimously.

2016 Operating Budget

Ms. Mills reviewed the draft 2016 Operating Budget, and suggested process for budget deliberations, and noted that the tax rate impact of the draft Operating Budget is currently 1.82% as a result of increased assessment.

Items to Consider

Repayment to General Capital Reserve Fund – First Avenue

A memorandum from Treasury, dated January 11, 2016 was presented.
2. **Moved by Councillor Bradley**

   That a repayment of $138,882 to the General Capital Reserve Fund for the First Avenue reconstruction be deferred to the 2017 budget process.

   **Carried.**

**Orangeville Business Improvement Area (OBIA) – Contribution to Parking Revenue**

Correspondence from OBIA, dated November 28, 2014 with respect to parking revenue was presented.

Alison Scheel, Executive Director of the OBIA, advised that the OBIA had been contributing $35,000 in lieu of parking meter revenue for at least 12 years until 2014 when it was reduced to $17,500 and the OBIA is requesting that the payment now be removed from the budget.

3. **Moved by Councillor Wilson**

   That the $17,500 contribution to Parking Revenue from the OBIA be removed from the 2016 and future Operating Budgets, resulting in a tax rate impact of 0.06%.

   **Carried.**

**Tree Sculpture Honouring Terry Sanderson**

4. **Moved by Councillor Wilson**

   That $7,500 for the creation and installation of a tree sculpture and plaque honouring Terry Sanderson be included in the 2016 Operating Budget, resulting in a tax rate impact of 0.02%.

   **Carried.**

5. **Moved by Mayor Williams**

   That Rink A at Tony Rose be renamed Sanderson Rink.

   **Defeated.**
**Contribution to Events Reserve**

6. Moved by Councillor Bradley

That no contribution to the Events Reserve be included in the 2016 Operating Budget, resulting in a tax rate impact of 0%.

Defeated.

**Consulting Services**

7. Moved by Councillor Wilson

That $20,000 for consulting services for a compensation review be included in the 2016 budget, resulting in a tax rate impact of 0.06%.

Defeated.

**Maintenance Costs – 172 Broadway**

8. Moved by Mayor Williams

That $4,000 for maintenance costs for 172 Broadway be included in the 2016 Operating Budget, resulting in a tax rate impact of 0.01%.

Defeated.

9. Moved by Deputy Mayor Maycock

That $6,500 for maintenance costs for 172 Broadway be included in the 2016 Operating Budget, resulting in a tax rate impact of 0.01%.

Defeated.

10. Moved by Councillor Garisto

That staff report to Council on January 18, 2016 as to the actual cost to replace the glass and/or repair the door to 172 Broadway.

Defeated.
Mandatory Items (Budget Binder (BB) Page 17)

11. Moved by Mayor Williams

That the following Mandatory Items totaling $137,052 be included in the 2016 Operating Budget resulting in a tax rate impact of 0.44%.

1. Newton Drive – General Capital Reserve Fund $65,000
2. Asset Management Phase II (BB Page 40) 30,000
3. Fire Union Contract Settlement 29,382
4. Decelerometer (BB Page 81) 5,000
5. Credit Valley Conservation Authority Levy 4,670
6. Transit Operations Pilot Project (BB Page 82) 3,000

Carried.

Annualization (BB Page 17)

12. Moved by Mayor Williams

That the following Annualization Items totaling ($1,183,640) be included in the 2016 Operating Budget, resulting in a reduction to the tax rate of 3.80%.

Page 17, Item 

7. Phase-In Assessment at Oct 2015 $(771,443)
8. Assessment Growth (495,875)
9. Remove 2015 One-Time Initiatives (138,800)
10. Increase in Hydro Dividends (77,160)
14. Change in Debt Servicing Cost 149,831
15. Remove Provincial Waste Grant 92,210
18. Property Taxes at Alder Street Rec 30,750
19. Other 26,847

Carried Unanimously.

5.8.1 Winter Control (BB Page 17, #16)

13. Moved by Councillor Garisto

That the staff requested increase of $68,000 to Winter Control Budget be decreased to $34,000 and included in the 2016 Operating Budget resulting in a tax rate impact of 0.11%.

Defeated.
14. Moved by Councillor Wilson

That the Winter Control Budget be increased by $68,000 and included in the 2016 Operating Budget resulting in a tax rate impact of 0.22%.

Carried.

5.8.2 Compensation Items (BB Page 17, #11)

A memorandum from Treasury, dated January 11, 2016 was presented.

15. Moved by Mayor Williams

That the annualization of the following Compensation items totaling $305,810 be included in the 2016 Operating Budget, resulting in a tax rate impact of 0.98%:

- Salary & Benefit Annualization – Fire Prevention Officer $106,668
- Other Fire Annualization – Administration & Union Contract 19,342
- Library Reorganization and OMERS Qualification 26,952
- Communications Annualization and Pay Equity 28,878
- Human Resources Annualization 10,794
- Planning Annualization of GIS Position 32,673
- Annual Increase in Benefits & Other Adjustments 39,945
- Parks & Recreation Capital Labour Impacts and Pay Equity 40,558

Carried.

16. Moved by Councillor Garisto

That a 2016 cost of living increase for non-union staff be included in the 2016 Operating Budget and paid retroactively to Payroll # 01 – 2016 as follows:
- 2% applied to Town pay grade 4 and below
- 1% applied to Town pay grade 5 and above
- 1% or 2% applied to the corresponding rates and/or grades in the Town’s student pay grid, volunteer fire fighter pay grid and Council pay grid, to align with the percentages applied to Town pay grids

Defeated.

Public Meeting Recessed. (9:01 p.m.)
Public Meeting Reconvened. (9:09 p.m.)
17. Moved by Councillor Wilson

That a Cost of Living Allowance for non-union staff of 2% for a total of $258,960 be included in the 2016 Operating Budget and paid retroactively to Payroll # 01 – 2016, resulting in a tax rate impact of 0.83%.

Carried.

18. Moved by Councillor Bradley

That an increase of $19,600 for Shift Premiums and Standby be included in the 2016 Operating Budget, resulting in a tax rate impact of 0.06%.

Carried.

5.8.3 Contribution to General Capital Reserve Fund for Infrastructure Projects

(BB Page 17, #12)

19. Moved by Councillor Wilson

That the annual 1% contribution to the General Capital Reserve Fund ($298,693 for 2016) be included in the 2016 Operating Budget resulting in a tax rate impact of 0.96%.

Defeated.

20. Moved by Councillor Garisto

That the annual contribution to the General Capital Reserve Fund be reduced to $149,346.50 and included in the 2016 Operating Budget resulting in a tax rate impact of 0.48%.

Defeated.

5.8.4 Additional Contribution to General Capital Reserve Fund

21. Moved by Councillor Wilson

That a one-time contribution of $600,000 to the General Capital Reserve Fund be included in the 2016 Operating Budget resulting in a tax rate impact of approximately 2%.

Defeated.
22. Moved by Deputy Mayor W. Maycock

That a one-time contribution of $300,000 to the General Capital Reserve Fund be included in the 2016 Operating Budget resulting in a tax rate impact of approximately 1%.

Carried.

5.8.5 Accumulated Deficit Funding (BB Page 17, #13)

23. Moved by Mayor Williams

That $150,000 to reduce the Accumulated Deficit be included in the 2016 Operating Budget, resulting in a tax rate impact of 0.48%.

Carried.

5.8.6 Legal Fees – ORDC (BB Page 17, #17)

24. Moved by Deputy Mayor Maycock

That a $50,000 contribution to Orangeville Railway Development Corporation be included in the 2016 Operating Budget, resulting in a tax rate impact of 0.16%.

Carried.

Growth (BB Page 18)

5.9.1 Firefighters (BB Page 74)

25. Moved by Councillor Wilson

That two permanent firefighter positions with a $58,904 impact in 2016 be included in the 2016 Operating Budget, resulting in a tax rate impact of 0.19%.

Defeated.

26. Moved by Councillor Garisto

That one permanent firefighter position with a $29,452 impact in 2016 be included in the 2016 Operating Budget, resulting in a tax rate impact of 0.1%.

Defeated.
Adjournment

27. Moved by Deputy Mayor Maycock

That the meeting adjourn. (Time: 10:09 p.m.)

Carried Unanimously.

Nick Garisto, Chair

Susan Greatrix, Clerk
Orangeville Public Library Board
Meeting Minutes
1 Mill Street – Meeting Room (5:00 p.m.)
Wednesday, December 16, 2015

Members Present
Ms. Shelagh Roberts
Ms. Glenda Dare
Mr. Bill Rea
Ms. Janice Kidd

Members Absent (notice)
Councillor Scott Wilson
Councillor Sylvia Bradley

Staff Present
Ms. D. Fraser, Chief Librarian

Staff Absent (notice)
Mr. E. Brennan, Interim CAO
Ms. S. Greatrix, Clerk
Ms. K. Mills, Acting Treasurer

1. Call to Order
   The Vice-Chair, Janice Kidd, called the meeting to order at 5:00 p.m.

2. Declarations of Conflict of Interest
   None.

3. Approval of Agenda

   15.52 Moved by Shelagh Roberts
   Seconded by Bill Rea
   That the agenda of December 16, 2015, be approved.
   Carried.

4. Adoption of the Previous Library Board Meeting Minutes
   Library Board Minutes, November 25, 2015 (Regular)

   15.53 Moved by Glenda Dare
   Seconded by Bill Rea
   That the minutes of the regular Library Board meeting held on November
   25, 2015, be adopted as printed and circulated.
   Carried.
5. Presentation to the Board – Tour 3  Floor/Review of Renovation Ideas – to be re-scheduled for next year (2016).

6. Information Items (as of November 30, 2015 unless otherwise specified)
   a. Chief Librarian Report (December 2015)
   b. Financial Report
   c. Incident Report
   d. Correspondence
      i. Letter Hayley Moody
      ii. Press Release from Library Services Centre

15.54 Moved by Bill Rea
   Seconded by Shelagh Roberts

   That the information items as listed be received.

   Carried.

7. Reports
   a) Report # 15-18 Library Board Meeting Schedule 2016

15.55 Moved by Shelagh Roberts
   Seconded by Bill Rea

   That report # 15-18, dated December 16, 2015, with respect to the Library Board Meeting Schedule 2016, be received;

   And that the Library Board Meeting Schedule for 2016 be adopted as presented.

   Carried.

8. Closed Meeting
   None.

9. Municipal Update
   None.
10. **Comments and Announcements** –
a) Ms. Fraser advised that Orange thREADs members donated a generous collection of knitted goods to Family Transition Place (FTP).

11. **Adjournment**

The meeting adjourned. (Time: 5:45 p.m.)

__________________________________________  ________________________________
Janice Kidd, Vice-Chair                        Darla Fraser, Chief Librarian

Next Meeting:       Wednesday, January 20, 2016  
                    1 Mill Street at 5:00 p.m.
Minutes of a Meeting of the
Orangeville Seniors/Age Friendly Community Committee
held at 5:00 p.m., December 2, 2015

Members Present:
Councillor Scott Wilson (Chair)
Ms. Tammy Abendroth
Ms. Maxine Brownell
Ms. Darla Fraser (Minute Taker)
Ms. Joy Gaskin
Ms. Cheryle Hamilton
Mr. Ken Jack
Ms. Janice Kidd
Ms. Jennifer McCallum
Mr. Keith Palmer
Mr. Doug Roome
Ms. Hannah Sawyer
Ms. Alisa Thoma

Guest Present:
Ms. Stacey Dittman, Age Friendly Consultant

Members Absent:
Ms. Mary Ann Parsons (regrets)
Ms. Cathy Waters (regrets)

1. Call to Order
The meeting was called to order by the Chair, Scott Wilson, at 5:05 p.m.

2. Disclosures
None

3. Delegations and Presentations
None

4. Minutes
4.1. Minutes of a regular meeting held on November 4, 2015, were presented.

Moved by Hannah Sawyer
Seconded by Ken Jack
That the minutes of a regular meeting held on November 4, 2015, be received.

Carried.
5. **Business Arising from Minutes**

   None

6. **Information**

   6.1. **2016 Meeting Schedule**

   The committee reviewed the 2016 Meeting Schedule. The Chair provided regrets for the February meeting and reminded members to forward their regrets to the Committee Administrator if they are unable to attend a meeting.

7. **Items for Discussion**

   7.1. **Minutes of the Age Friendly Sub-Committee meeting held on September 16, 2015.**

   **Moved by Ken Jack**
   **Seconded by Cheryle Hamilton**
   **That minutes of the Age Friendly Sub-Committee meeting held on September 16, 2015, be received.**

   Carried.

   7.2. **Age Friendly Update**

   Stacey Dittman, Age Friendly Consultant, provided a brief update with respect to the age friendly initiative action plans and informed the committee of an upcoming Seniors Business Fair.

   Stacey said she will be attending the Arts and Culture Committee meeting on January 13, 2016 as a representative for the Seniors Committee, to participate in a discussion to determine summer strategies for activities and events that would help to build Orangeville’s attraction year-round.

   Stacey encouraged members to participate in the Transit Survey and to advise other seniors of the opportunity to share their opinions.

   7.3. **Summary of Goals and Objectives – Implementation and Action Plans**

   The Chair stated he had presented the Committee’s summary of goals and objectives to Council on November 23, 2015, and had inquired about a mechanism to ensure that the seniors committee is made aware of and provided the opportunity to comment on issues affecting seniors.

   The committee conducted an in-depth discussion regarding the role and mandate of the committee to advocate for seniors and act as an advisory committee to Council.
Darla Fraser informed the committee of work that is underway by the Age Friendly Sub-Committee and the need for the action plan to be in place prior to the committee establishing a check-list of items to be implemented.

7.4. **Budget Update**

The committee reviewed the budget to date.

8. **New Business**

**County of Dufferin Poverty Reduction Workshop**

Keith Palmer provided an update regarding the County of Dufferin Poverty Reduction Workshop and stated that a number of Provincial Ministries had been represented. Keith said that one of the purposes of the forum was to review the County’s ten year housing plan and stated that the County’s Poverty Task Force is actively soliciting various levels of involvement.

**County Childcare Capital Project**

Keith Palmer informed the committee that the County Childcare Capital Project will add childcare spaces to Shelburne Highland Heights Public School.

**Orangeville Food Bank**

Stacey Dittman advised that the Orangeville food bank has announced that Heather Hayes has been appointed as Executive Director. Stacey spoke of the need for a strategy to ensure organizations are aware of the Seniors/Age Friendly Community Committee and said she will meet with Heather to discuss the Age Friendly Initiative. Jennifer McCallum volunteered to introduce Heather Hayes to the committee.

**Municipal Awards**

The committee was advised that June Daley received the 2015 Municipal Senior of the Year award and Charlotte Clare received the Municipal Youth of the Year award.

**Dufferin Network for Prevention of Elder Abuse (DNPEA)**

Jennifer McCallum stated that the DNPEA is working on launching a Facebook page in February 2016 called 'Dufferin Senior Circle', which will provide information to seniors.

Jennifer said the page is being designed by a group of volunteer seniors and stated that the County will be providing Facebook training for seniors.
The committee discussed the possibility of a Facebook training session for the committee or perhaps in conjunction with the DNPEA/County training. The committee suggested a link to the Facebook page be placed on the Town of Orangeville website and that the Town of Orangeville link be placed on the Dufferin Senior Circle page.

**Cyber Seniors Program**

Hannah Sawyer asked for an update on the launch of the Cyber Seniors program. Councillor Wilson and Darla Fraser agreed to meet to discuss time lines for the project and will report back to the committee.

**Information Sharing**

The Ontario Seniors’ Secretariat formally launched the third year of the Seniors Community Grant program. Additional information can be obtained at www.ontario.ca/seniors.

The Auditor General released the 2015 Annual Report and is available to view online at www.auditor.on.ca/en/. The expansion of the Ombudsman’s jurisdiction to municipalities was mentioned in the report.

The committee discussed creation of a Town page ad to inform seniors about the Seniors/Age Friendly Community Committee.

Joy Gaskin asked if the petition requesting a lifetime free recreation pass for a non-resident senior was forwarded to the Mayor. Councillor Wilson indicated he had passed the petition on to the Mayor and was unaware of the current status of the request.

9. **Date of Next Meeting**

The next meeting to be held on January 6, 2016 at 5:00 p.m.

10. **Adjournment**

    Moved by Keith Palmer  
    Seconded by Hannah Sawyer  
    That the meeting be adjourned (6:35 p.m.)  

    Carried.
In Attendance
Councillor Nick Garisto
Chris Burton

Regrets
Janice Kidd
Chris Johnston, By-law Department

Staff Present
Vern Douglas, Building and By-law Department
Carrie Cunningham, By-law Department

Call to Order
Meeting was called to order at 6:59 pm.
Councillor Garisto welcomed everyone to tonight’s meeting.

Introductions
Committee members and staff proceeded with brief introductions.

Election of Chair
Moved by Chris Burton that the nomination of Councillor Nick Garisto as Chair of the Property Standards Committee, be approved. Carried.

Moved by Councillor Nick Garisto that the nomination of Chris Burton as Vice Chair of the Property Standards Committee, be approved. Carried.

Overview of the Committee
Vern Douglas explained that the purpose of the Property Standards Committee is to hear appeals of Property Standards Orders. When an appeal is received, a hearing is scheduled. At the hearing, the Officer will present Committee members with details of the Order. The appellant is then given the opportunity to present details of their appeal. In an appeal, the members of the Committee may confirm, modify, extend or rescind the Order issued by the Property Standards Officer. The Committee deliberates the merits of the evidence presented and renders its decision orally at the meeting and issues a letter in writing from the Chair.
Mr. Douglas further explained that the Committee also hears appeals for Notices to Muzzle under the Animal Control By-law. As the OSCPA administers this by-law on behalf of the Town, their Animal Control Officers issue the Notices and attend the hearings.

Mr. Douglas reported that on average, the By-law Department receives approximately 400 Property Standards related complaints yearly.

**New Business**
None

**Next Meeting**
At the call of the Chair.
Councillor Garisto thanked both Chris and Janice for volunteering their time to being members of this Committee.

**Adjournment**
Moved by Chris Burton that the meeting be adjourned at 7:33 pm. Carried.

_________________________ ____________________________
Councillor Nick Garisto Carrie Cunningham
Chairperson Committee Administrator

Alternate formats are available upon request. Please contact info@orangeville.ca or call 1-519-941-0440 Ext. 2259 if you require a different format.
Call to Order
Meeting called to order at 6:03 p.m.

Introduction of Members
Members of the Sign Development Review Committee provided brief introduction of professional career and the stakeholders group they represent.

Election of Chair
Members of the committee held an election to determine the Chair and Vice Chair of the Sign Development Review Committee. Members elected Councillor Sylvia Bradley to Chair the committee and member of public Nick Shinn as Vice Chair.

Background Information
Councillor Sylvia Bradley provided a synopsis of the history surrounding the enactment of the Sign By-law Review Committee and later formed Sign Development Review Committee.

Vern Douglas Director of Building and By-law, provided members background information as to the rationale of passing a new Sign By-law.
Minutes

New Business

Motion: That the Sign Development Review Committee meeting schedule be revised to the following dates: First Wednesday of the month at 1:00pm in the Lower Committee Room.

Due to the Holiday season the next meeting has been scheduled for January 13, 2015, in the Upper Board Room.

Moved by Councillor Sylvia Bradley  Carried

Sign By-law Definition – Vern Douglas, Director of Building & By-law raised a concern regarding the definition of Digital Signage from Sign By-law 28-2013. Digital Signage is defined as “is digital generated artwork that can be in colour and is placed onto a coroplast backing and affixed to the frame of 4.5m2 portable temporary sign face”. Recommending that coroplast be removed. As future technologies develop in sign manufacturing, the by-law should reflect a broader definition.

Future Developments – Nick Shinn, brought forward to members a potential policy or practice to all future commercial developments, to incorporate a defined “sign banner” in all new construction in the Town of Orangeville.

Vern Douglas advised that the Planning Department may potentially implement this practice in future; however the Committee should request a representative to attend the Committee to provide further comments on this issue.

Condensed Sign By-law – Ross Munro and Bliss Daley, would like to see the Sign By-law condensed into a “user friendly”, “layman’s terms” guide/pamphlet. As the Sign By-law is a large complex document, which the average individual could find confusing to interpret.

Chris Johnston mentioned that any individuals who may have a question as to interpretation could contact the Building & By-law Department for assistance. Further to that the By-law could be provided in a condensed version at a later date.

Additional Portable Signage – Bliss Daley, brought forward a concern that arise from the Service Clubs, that erecting additional portable signs at various locations throughout the Town would greatly benefit the fundraising efforts of the various Service Clubs.

-Item to be discussed at next scheduled meeting.

Motion: Councillor Bradley asked all members to go back to their organizations and find out what concerns they have and bring those items forward to the next meeting.

Moved by Councillor Sylvia Bradley  Carried
**Date of Next Meeting**

The next meeting will be held on Wednesday, January 13, 2015 at 6 p.m. in the Lower Committee Room.

**Adjournment**

**Motion:** “That the meeting be adjourned at 7:36 p.m.”

_____________________________  _____________________________
Councillor Sylvia Bradley,  Chris Johnston,
Chairperson  Committee Administrator

Alternate formats are available upon request. Please contact info@orangeville.ca or call 1-519-941-0440 Ext. 2259 if you require a different format.
The Corporation of the Town of Orangeville

By-law Number

A by-law to confirm the proceedings of the Corporation of the Town of Orangeville at its regular meeting of Council held on January 18, 2016.

Whereas Section 5 (1) of the Municipal Act, 2001, as amended, provides that the powers of a municipal corporation shall be exercised by its council;

And whereas Section 5 (3) of the Municipal Act, 2001, as amended, provides that municipal powers shall be exercised by by-law;

Be it therefore enacted by the municipal Council of The Corporation of the Town of Orangeville as follows:

1. That all actions of the Council of The Corporation of the Town of Orangeville at its regular meeting of Council held on January 18, 2016, with respect to every report, motion, by-law, or other action passed and taken by the Council, including the exercise of natural person powers, are hereby adopted, ratified and confirmed as if all such proceedings were expressly embodied in this or a separate by-law.

2. That the Mayor and Clerk are authorized and directed to do all the things necessary to give effect to the action of the Council of The Corporation of the Town of Orangeville referred to in the preceding section.

3. That the Mayor and the Clerk are authorized and directed to execute all documents necessary in that behalf and to affix thereto the seal of The Corporation of the Town of Orangeville.

Passed in open Council this 1st day of February, 2016.

Jeremy D Williams, Mayor

Susan Greatrix, Clerk
The Corporation of the Town of Orangeville

By-law Number

A by-law to amend By-law 025-2015 being the Boards and Committees By-law for the Town of Orangeville. (Economic Development Committee, Events Committee, Library Board, Orangeville Sustainability Action Team (OSAT), Recreation Committee, Taxi By-Law Review Committee)

Whereas the Corporation of the Town of Orangeville deems it expedient to amend By-law No. 025-2015;

Be it therefore enacted by the municipal Council of The Corporation of the Town of Orangeville as follows:

1. That Schedule “A” of By-law 025-2015 (Special Committees of Council), be amended to:

   - amend the composition of the Economic Development Committee to add Ted Squires as the manufacturing representative

   - include the Taxi By-law Review Committee as a Special Committee of Council with the following mandate and representation:

         1 council member
         5 public appointments
         Staff representatives from the Building and By-law Enforcement Department and the Police Services Board

         The purpose of the Committee is to review the taxi licensing by-law and make recommendations to Council.

2. That Schedule “D” of By-law 025-2015 (Appointments to Special Committees), be amended to:

   - delete Chris Fast from the Orangeville Sustainability Action Team
   - appoint Diva Anderson to the Events Committee
   - appoint Patrick Neely to the Library Board
- appoint Lisa Masters and Arie Rutgers to the Recreation Committee
- appoint Mayor Williams, Don Beattie, Trevor Castiglione, Perry Meeker, Narinder Paul Singh and Bernard Uzoagba to the Taxi By-law Review Committee

Passed in open Council this 1st day of February, 2016.

Jeremy D Williams, Mayor

Susan Greatrix, Clerk
The Corporation of the Town of Orangeville

By-law Number

A by-law to authorize a term lease for agricultural use with the Ministry of Transportation. (Dragonfly Park)

Whereas the Municipal Act, 2001, S.O. 2001, c.25, S.9 provides a municipality with the powers of a natural person for the purpose of exercising its authority under this or any other Act;

And whereas in 1998 the Town entered into a term lease for agricultural use which is renewable every 6 years with the Minister of Transportation with respect to Part of Lot 2, Block 1, Registered Plan 138, (a portion of land in Dragonfly Park);

And whereas the lease has expired and Council considers it expedient to renew the lease for a further six year term commencing February 1, 2016 and expiring January 31, 2022;

Be it therefore enacted by the Municipal Council of The Corporation of the Town of Orangeville as follows:

1. That the term lease for agricultural use between The Minister of Transportation for The Province of Ontario and The Corporation of the Town of Orangeville, with respect to Part of Lot 2, Block 1, Registered Plan 138, (Part of Dragonfly Park) in substantially the same form as the agreement attached hereto as Schedule “A”, is hereby approved.

2. That the Mayor and Clerk are hereby authorized and instructed to execute the agreement, any other further renewals and any other documents required to complete the transaction on behalf of The Corporation of the Town of Orangeville and to affix the corporate seal thereto

Passed in open Council this 1st day of February, 2016.

_________________________________________________________________________________________

Jeremy D Williams, Mayor

_________________________________________________________________________________________

Susan Greatrix, Clerk
The Corporation of the Town of Orangeville

By-law Number

A by-law to authorize the renewal of a license agreement with the Ministry of Transportation.
(Trail – Hwy. 10/Hansen Blvd.)

Whereas the Municipal Act, 2001, S.O. 2001, c.25, S.9 provides a municipality with the powers of a natural person for the purpose of exercising its authority under this or any other Act;

And whereas the Town entered into a 3 year license agreement with Her Majesty the Queen in Right of the Province of Ontario, as represented by the Minister of Transportation, for the lease of a parcel of land for the purpose of a public trail, (Highway10/Hansen Blvd.) which agreement expired on October 31, 2015;

And whereas Council considers it expedient to renew the license agreement for a further three years, expiring October 31, 2018;

Be it therefore enacted by the Municipal Council of The Corporation of the Town of Orangeville as follows:

1. That the term lease for agricultural use between Her Majesty the Queen in Right of the Province of Ontario as represented by the Minister of Transportation for the Said Province of Ontario and The Corporation of the Town of Orangeville, with respect to Part of Lot 2, Block 1, Registered Plan 138, (Part of Dragonfly Park) in substantially the same form as the agreement attached hereto as Schedule “A”, is hereby approved.

2. That the Mayor and Clerk are hereby authorized and instructed to execute the agreement, any other further renewals and any other documents required to complete the transaction on behalf of The Corporation of the Town of Orangeville and to affix the corporate seal thereto

Passed in open Council this 1st day of February, 2016.

Jeremy D Williams, Mayor

Susan Greatrix, Clerk